HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN FOR ABUTILON MENZIESII

AT KAPOLEI



State of Hawai'i
Department of Transportation

FINAL HCP March 2004

HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN FOR ABUTILON MENZIESII

AT KAPOLEI



State of Hawaii Department of Transportation

Prepared by:

Yukie Ohashi with PBR HAWAII for Parsons Brinckerhoff and State of Hawaii

Department of Transportation

FINAL HCP March 200+

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABL	E OF CONTE	NTS	>>	*************			*********		PAGE i
	ACE								
	UTIVE SUMN								
EAEC	OTIVE SUMM	/IAIN I		***************************************		*******		•	······································
	The Presence	of Abutilon n	nenziesii on	the Kapole	i Property.				v
	Landownersh								
	The Proposed	Developmen	ts	***********		********		*****	vi
	Habitat Conse	rvation Plan	Goals and	Objectives	***********				viii
	Impacts and M								
	Funding and l	implementation in the state of	on		•••••••	********	*******	••••	ix
ITADE	TAT CONSER	Y A THOM DI	A D.T						
парі	IAI CONSER	VALION PL	AN	************		4 2 4 4 3 7 9 8 1 4 9	*******	*******	
(2)	communities, and the endan reasonably ex habitat types i	gered, threate pected to be p in the plan are	ened, propo present in the	sed, and car hose ecosyst	didate spe ems, natur	cies kn al com	own or munitie	es, or	1
(2)	Sufficient det the particular	ail to allow the	ne departmenatural com	ent to evalua imunities, or	te the important ty	act of the pes wit	he activ hin the	rities c plan a	area
	that are the fo	cus of the pla	ın habitat ty	pes in the p	lan area	•••••••	********		9
(3)	Identify the st including with consideration impacts assoc will be availa	hout limitation of the full ranciated with the	n, the impa nge of the s take can b	act of any au species on the species adequately	thorized in the island so y assessed;	cidenta that co and th	il take, umulati e fundi	with ve ng tha	ıt
	Strategy (1)	Interim Mar	nagement P	rogram (Oc	tober 1998	to Oct	ober 20	001	21
	Strategy (2)	Funding for	the Imple	nentation of	the HCP.		*******	•••••	29
	Strategy (3)	Developmen	nt schedule	and mitigat	ion phasin	g seque	ence		32
	Strategy (4)	Establish ne		_	-				
	Strategy (5)	Long-term							
		populations	_		-				
	Strategy (6)	Apropriate							
	Strategy (7)	Kapolei Po					-		

(4)	Identify those measures or actions to be undertaken to protect, maintain, restore, or enhance the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types within the plan area; a schedule for implementation of the actions or measures, including monitoring, that are to be undertaken in accordance with the schedule49
(5)	Be consistent with the goals and objectives of any approved recovery plan for any endangered species or threatened species known or reasonably expected to occur in the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types in the plan area
(6)	Provide reasonable certainty that the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types will be maintained in the plan area, throughout the life of the plan, in sufficient quality, distribution, and extent to support within the plan area those species typically associated with the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types, including any endangered, threatened, proposed, and candidate species known or reasonably expected to be present in the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types within the plan area
(7)	Contain objective, measurable goals, the achievement of which will contribute significantly to the protection, maintenance, restoration, or enhancement of the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types; time frames within which the goals are to be achieved; and provisions for monitoring (such as field sampling techniques) and evaluating progress in achieving the goals quantitatively and qualitatively
(8)	Provide for an adaptive management strategy that specifies the actions to be taken periodically if the plan is not achieving its goals
REFE	RENCES64
ADDE	NIDICEC

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>PAGE</u>				
1	Baseline Population of Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei, 1997 (Revised to include Cluster				
	E, 2004)				
2	Cluster C (Sub-Areas)8				
3	Landownership of the Parcels at the Kapolei Property9				
4	Conceptual Development Phasing and Impacts to Plant Clusters17				
5	Strategies to Assess and Mitigate the Development Impacts on Abutilon menziesii at				
	Kapolei				
6	Funding Sources for the Habitat Conservation Plan (2001 to 2021+)29				
7	Conceptual Development Phasing and Impacts to Plant Clusters32				
8	HCP Implementation Schedule (Conceptual)33				
9	Summary description of extant Lanai and Maui populations of Abutilo menziesii34				
10	Preliminary analysis of physical characteristics of extant Lanai and Maui populations35				
11	Candidate Sites for outplanting of Abutilon menziesii				
12	Recovery Objectives of the Lanai Recovery Plan and HCP Objectives51				
13	HCP Short-term and Long-term Goals53				
14	Measurable Criteria for Monitoring and Evaluating Progress of Goals54				
	en de la composition de la composition La financia de la composition de la co				
	LIST OF FIGURES				
1	Regional Location Map				
2	Photography Abutilas magricuit of Vanalai Property				
3	Photographs: Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei Property				
3A.	East Kapolei Abutilon menziesii Population (Updated 2003)				
3A.					
5	Conceptual Land Use Plan - Kapolei Property				
6	Overlay of Plant Clusters on the Kapolei Projects 13				
7	• •				
	Photographs: Koko Crater Botanical Gardens (Outplant Site #1)				
8 9	Photographs: Kaena Point State Park (Outplant Site #2 / Wild Site #1)				
9	Photographs: Honouliuli NWR (Outplant Site #3/Wild Site #2) and Dillingham				
10	Greenhouse				
11	Existing Outplanting Sites and Candidate Sites				
11	18-Acre Contingency Reserve Area47				
	LICT OF ADDENDIONS				
	LIST OF APPENDICES				
Α	Biological Survey / Kenneth Nagata (September 1996)				
В	Botanical Survey / Winona Char (January 1997)				
C	Botanical Survey / Winona Char (October 1997)				
D	Botanical Survey / Winona Char (August 2003)				
E	Botanical Survey / Winona Char (March 2004)				
F	Interim Management Report for Abutilon menziesii (April 24, 2001)				

PREFACE

The preparation of the Habitat Conservation Plan for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei ("HCP") was initiated in 1996 with two State sponsored development proposals. The first proposal involved the 1,300-acre East Kapolei Master Plan project proposed by the Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii ("HCDCH") under a right-of-entry agreement with the Department of Land and Natural Resources ("DLNR"). The second proposal by the Department of Transportation ("DOT") involved the North-South Road arterial highway which would bisect the 1,300-acre property. The earlier drafts of the HCP were therefore co-sponsored by DOT and HCDCH.

In actions by the Board of Land and Natural Resources in September 2002, the 1,300 acres of State land have been re-assigned to other State of Hawaii entities. The University of Hawaii has received 500 acres for the development of the University of Hawaii West Oahu campus ("UHWO") and the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands has acquired 200 acres for residential homestead development. The remaining 600 acres are again under the control of the DLNR. Thus, HCDCH no longer holds an interest to these Kapolei lands.

Abutilon menziesii has also been found on adjacent lands owned by the City and County of Honolulu. The City has therefore, requested that its land be included in the subject HCP to allow the construction of a proposed roadway segment and other potential future urban uses. Thus, the Final HCP incorporates an additional 81 acres for a total of 1,381 acres of land.

As described herein, DOT has assumed sole sponsorship of the HCP for the total population of Abutilon menziesii at the Kapolei property. DOT has also assumed mitigation responsibility as described in a Memorandum of Agreement ("MOA") with DLNR.

And finally, a request for an Incidental Take License for the Kapolei population will involve coordination and cooperation between DOT and other stakeholder parties through future agreements (i.e., MOA, Certificate of Inclusion) when other properties are ready to be developed.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A population of Abutilon menziesii was discovered in late 1996 at Kapolei in the Ewa area, island of Oahu, State of Hawaii, on former sugarcane land. Hence, this population is referred to as the "Kapolei population". A. menziesii of the Mallow Family (Malvaceae) is also known by its Hawaiian name Kooloaula and its common name "red ilima". It has been a federally listed endangered species since 1986 and is protected under the provisions of the federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, and Chapter 195D, Hawaii Revised Statutes, as amended. A. menziesii is one of nine species included in the Lanai Plant Cluster Recovery Plan (US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1994).

This habitat conservation plan is prepared pursuant to Chapter 195D-21, HRS, as amended. Accordingly, the HCP provides a description of the development actions proposed on the 1,300-acre State-owned property and an adjacent 81-acre City and County of Honolulu ("City") property at Kapolei (referred herein as the "Kapolei property"). The HCP describes the impact of development actions on the Kapolei population and proposes a series of mitigative strategies that would provide a net gain of A. menziesii to further the recovery of the species.

The preparation of this HCP began in 1997, with drafts prepared in 1997, 1999, 2001, and 2003 and reviewed by the Department of Land and Natural Resources Division of Forestry and Wildlife ("DLNR DOFAW"), the Endangered Species Recovery Committee ("ESRC"), and the US Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS"). The present HCP incorporates the actions which have been undertaken as interim mitigation measures and describes the mitigation measures for the Kapolei population that are planned over a period of approximately 20 years.

The sponsor of this HCP is the State Department of Transportation ("DOT"), which will be acquiring a portion (approximately 24.6 acres) of the Kapolei property from DLNR for the proposed North-South Road. The Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii ("HCDCH") had also previously contributed substantially to the development of the HCP and its Interim Management Plan.

The Presence of Abutilon menziesii on the Kapolei Property

For nearly a century, the Kapolei property was cultivated in sugarcane. According to the Oahu Sugar Company, sugarcane was last harvested on the property in 1994, prior to the permanent closure of the company in Spring 1995. Typical of sugarcane grown in Hawaii, the cane was a two-year crop and harvesting practices involved burning to reduce the leaf bulk before the cane stalks were mechanically harvested. Generally, the cane fire in each field lasted 20 to 30 minutes. The now abandoned fields at the Kapolei property were exposed to cane fires every two years during nearly ten decades of cane cultivation.

Botanical surveys of the Kapolei property have been conducted by Kenneth Nagata (1996) and Char & Associates (1996, 1997, 2003, 2004). The survey reports are attached as Appendices A, B, C, D, and E. respectively.

The property is described as a disturbed site characterized by the dominance of alien weed species interspersed with remnant sugar cane. Therefore, the discovery of A. menziesii in September 1996 by Nagata approximately two years after the last cane harvest is seen as an enigma (Nagata 1996). Nagata's 1996 reconnaissance survey covered 80 percent of the State property. A subsequent survey by Char in December 1996 following an unusually wet period in November and December 1996 recorded 88 plants. In December 1997 Nagata conducted a second comprehensive survey and recorded 86 plants on-site. One plant was observed off-site to the south of the project site at Renton Road. The December 1997 survey produced taxonomic data and a precise mapping of the plants. A subsequent GPS based map was produced by DLNR. In 2004, Char completed a survey of the City property and discovered an additional 7 plants.

The plants are spatially distributed in five clusters in the central and southern portions occupying approximately 25 percent of the Kapolei property and are described as Clusters A, B, C, D, and E. Through attrition the present number of plants has been reduced to between 30 and 50 (DLNR 2001) but new seedlings have recently been recorded (DLNR 2003); however, this HCP is generally based on the baseline number of 93 plants.

Landownership

The State-owned Kapolei property, consisting of approximately 1,300 acres, was previously leased to Oahu Sugar Company, Limited until 1995; upon its closure, the land was transferred back to the State of Hawaii under the jurisdiction of DLNR Land Division. Through a right-of-entry agreement with HCDCH the property was reclassified in 1998 for urban uses to further the development of Kapolei as the secondary urban center of the City and County of Honolulu and the State of Hawaii. The land tenure however, was changed in late 2002 and 500 acres were transferred to the University of Hawaii for a new West Oahu campus. In addition, 200 acres will be transferred to the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands ("DHHL") for residential development. Both the University of Hawaii and the DHHL have received right-of-entry to their properties and HCDCH's right-of-entry has been revoked. The remaining 600 acres have reverted to DLNR.

A portion of the proposed North-South Road property is owned by DLNR and a portion is owned by the Estate of James Campbell. A. menziesii is present only on the State-owned portion. The planned development of the North-South Road will require property conveyance from DLNR and the Estate of James Campbell to DOT. The land conveyance actions are anticipated to be accomplished in 2004 prior to the commencement of construction.

The 81-acre City and County of Honolulu property is comprised of portions of two larger parcels of land which include the adjacent existing Ewa Villages Golf Course to the north. The City's Kapolei Parkway roadway segment and future urban development are anticipated on this vacant land.

The Proposed Developments

Developments proposed by public agencies include the North-South Road, the Kapolei Parkway segment, and the University of Hawaii West Oahu campus. In addition, DHHL will develop residential homesteads for native Hawaiian beneficiaries. Collectively, the HCP refers to these

developments as the "Kapolei projects". The remaining DLNR land area has also been planned for urban uses, however, no specific development proposal is under consideration at this time.

North-South Road

The Department of Transportation is proposing to develop the North-South Road, a federal-aid highway, which would be a principal arterial roadway providing support to the regional network of roadways and to the Interstate Route H-1 ("H-1 Freeway"). The North-South Road would bisect and provide access to the land developments at the Kapolei property and also provide an alternate access roadway for other Kapolei and Ewa communities.

The North-South Road would traverse the Kapolei property between the H-1 Freeway (located to the north) and the City's segment of Kapolei Parkway (located to the south).

Kapolei Parkway Extension

The Kapolei Parkway segment is a 0.7 mile major collector roadway which will link the North-South Road and Renton Road and existing segments of the Kapolei Parkway. The subject roadway project will traverse the City's Ewa Villages property and will provide an alternative regional access to the H-1 Freeway. Regional access to Interstate H-1 is currently limited to Fort Weaver Road. The Kapolei Parkway segment is also a federal-aid highway project.

Department of Hawaiian Home Lands

DHHL is mandated to develop and deliver homesteads to qualified native Hawaiians. The development of 200 acres of DHHL lands would include approximately 1,000 residential homesteads and potentially some commercial and community facility uses to serve its new subdivisions. The first phase is planned for occupancy in 2006 and buildout expected in approximately 8 to 10 years. These uses have been described as part of the East Kapolei Master Plan. The DHHL parcels are to the west of North-South Road, with one parcel to the north of UHWO and the other to the south of UHWO.

A new segment of Kapolei Parkway will bisect the DHHL parcel. This segment will connect to the existing Kapolei Parkway to the west and to the City's proposed Kapolei Parkway segment (described above) and the North South-Road.

University of Hawaii West Oahu

The UH West Oahu campus will be a major educational facility in Kapolei, primarily serving the Leeward and Central Oahu region. The University is currently exploring options for the development of the campus and at present is planning an approximately 100-acre campus which will be developed in phases to an ultimate student population of 7,600. Additionally, about 150 acres of land will be allocated on the property for future campus expansion beyond the 7,600 student population. The University is also considering a number of land use options for the remaining 250 acres of land within the 500-acre property to serve the campus and surrounding region. Construction of the initial phase of the campus could begin in the latter part of 2005, with a completion date of

Fall 2007. The initial phase of campus development would be located in the northeastern portion of the property, in close proximity to Farrington Highway.

Department of Land and Natural Resources

The 600 acres of land under the authority of the DLNR Land Division have been previously planned for residential and school facilities as well as open space areas, which would serve as drainage detention basins as part of the East Kapolei Master Plan. The ultimate specific uses of these lands will be determined in the future.

Habitat Conservation Plan Goals and Objectives

Pursuant to Chapter 195D, the habitat conservation plan shall contain sufficient information for the Board of Land and Natural Resources ("BLNR") to ascertain with reasonable certainty the likely effect of the plan upon any endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate species in the plan area and throughout its habitat range. Thus, the goal of this HCP is to initiate and sustain a program which would result in an overall net gain in the number of *Abutilon menziesii* on Oahu, thus, contributing towards the recovery of the species as required by HRS Chapter195D-30. HRS Chapter195D-2 defines "Recovery" or "recover" to mean that "the number of individuals of the protected species has increased to the point that the measures provided under this chapter (Chapter 195 HRS) or the Federal Endangered Species Act are no longer needed."

The objectives of the HCP are threefold: (1) describe the existing conditions of the Kapolei population; (2) describe the potential impacts of the Kapolei projects on Abutilon menziesii; and (3) describe the strategies and actions to mitigate the impacts. The major strategy designed to mitigate impacts and to benefit the species is the creation of three protected off-site wild populations on Oahu from the single degraded Kapolei population.

To test whether new populations could be established from Kapolei stock of A. menziesii, an Interim Management Program was initiated in 1998 and funded by HCDCH. This program has successfully been implemented by DLNR. A complete representation of 630 plant progeny were propagated from the Kapolei population and outplanted at Koko Crater Botanical Gardens, Kaena Point State Park, and Honouliuli Unit of the Pearl Harbor National Wildlife Refuge. The Interim Management Program is described in detail in Strategy (1) of Section 3 and in the DLNR Draft and Final Interim Management Report for Abutilon menziesii (2001, 2003), attached as Appendix F and Appendix G.

The HCP is formatted according to the guidelines set forth in HRS Chapter 195D-21. In addition, recommendations received from DLNR, the Endangered Species Recovery Committee, and the US Fish and Wildlife Service have been incorporated.

Impacts and Mitigative Measures

The development of the Kapolei projects is expected to result in incidental take of the entire Kapolei population during an approximately 20-year development period. Therefore, a series of actions are proposed that will produce three new offsite "wild" populations, protect the genetic diversity of the existing population, and protect existing individuals by relocating them to the new population

locations. This HCP also proposes long-term management that would occur concurrently with project development to ensure that benefits are realized for A. menziesii.

The duration of the HCP implementation and active management period is approximately 20 years and is tied to the accomplishment of the measurable goals that include the establishment of a minimum of three offsite self-sustaining populations. The successful implementation of the HCP would significantly increase the numbers of new plants on Oahu as well as improve their quality compared to the *in situ* disturbed canefield conditions at the Kapolei property.

Funding and Implementation

The primary funding mechanism is a trust fund for endangered species as promulgated in Chapter 195D-31, HRS. DOT will provide the funding to implement the HCP. The North-South Road, as planned, would affect approximately 25 percent of the population, and the other Kapolei projects would affect the remaining 75 percent. As agreed by DOT and DLNR in a Memorandum of Agreement, DOT on March 14, 2001 made available funds in the amount of \$250,000 for the initial five years of HCP implementation. These initial funds are being utilized (since approximately August 2001) by DLNR to manage and implement the HCP strategies. The MOA also stipulates that the subsequent 15 years will also be funded by DOT. An additional \$750,000 will also be delegated to DLNR upon the approval of the HCP by the Board of Land and Natural Resources (or the Hawaii Legislature, as appropriate) and the Governor's release of the funds for a total not to exceed \$1 million over a maximum period of 20 years.

DOT will also establish a contingency fund and has developed a process for third party developers ("Cooperators") to utilize the Incidental Take License through a Certificate of Inclusion. The Cooperators would pay into a contingency fund for the following purposes: 1) to finance unanticipated costs incurred by DLNR in the implementation of the HCP measures; and 2) to fund the management and monitoring of three "wild" populations beyond 20 years. The total initial amount of the Contingency Fund is \$200,000. To ensure this fund DOT will deposit the full \$200,000 amount following the approval of the HCP.

SECTION 1

Identify the geographic area encompassed by the plan; the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types within the plan area that are the focus of the plan; and the endangered, threatened, proposed, and candidate species known or reasonably expected to be present in those ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types in the plan area.

(1a) Geographic Area Encompassed by the Plan


The location of the existing plants is at Kapolei, Ewa District, Oahu, Hawaii (Figure 1). The property is bounded on the north by Farrington Highway and H-1 Freeway, to the west by the Villages of Kapolei and Kapolei Golf Course, to the south and southeast by Ewa Villages and Renton Road, and to the east by diversified agricultural fields and fallowed sugarcane land. It encompasses former sugar cane lands from approximately 60 feet above mean sea level ("AMSL") at Renton Road up to 200 feet MSL at the H-1 Freeway. The site also contains an existing Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. ("HECO") powerline easement. Since 1999, BLNR has leased approximately 550 acres of the property to Aloun Farms, Inc. and A.M. Enterprise, LLC on a month-to-month basis for crop farming. The leased parcels are not known to have any A. menziesii on the premises. Kaloi Gulch and the Hunehune tributary, both intermittent ephemeral streams, traverse the property from the north to the southeast boundary. There are no known A. menziesii plants in the gulch and tributary.

New offsite populations of Abutilon menziesii have been initiated at the City and County of Honolulu Koko Crater Botanical Garden (Outplant Site #1), Kaena Point State Park (Outplant Site #2, Wild Site #1), and Honouliuli National Wildlife Refuge (Outplant Site #3, Wild Site #2). The Koko Crater site is not considered a "wild site"; however, its value is as a protected repository for the full genetic stock of the Kapolei population.

Additional outplant wild sites will be initiated within the region of the Kapolei population as well as other suitable areas on O ahu as described in Section 3, Strategy (4). C andidate sites include Diamond Head State Park, Lualualei Naval Reserve (at the Radio Transmission Facility), Kealia Trail, Kalaeloa Northern Trap and Skeet Range, Kalua Kauila (near Makua Valley), area mauka of Yokohama Beach and Makapuu Head. These sites are also described in Section 3, Strategy (4).

(1b) Ecosystems, Natural Communities, or Habitat Types within the Plan Area

The Ewa Plain experiences light rainfall with a mean annual rainfall of about 20 inches per year, most of which occurs between the months of November and April. Based on more than 50 years of data collected at Oahu Sugar Company (and its predecessor, Ewa Plantation), average annual daily minimum and maximum temperatures in the project area are 65 degrees F and 84 degrees F, respectively. On the arid Ewa plain, the fallow agricultural land and the low levels of evapotranspiration which occurs from scrub vegetation produces little cooling effect.



The project area was formerly cultivated as sugarcane land and is now characterized as a disturbed coastal dry ecosystem. The vegetation of the region is generally lowland shrub with a coastal fringe of kiawe trees. In the past several years the Kapolei property and surrounding lands have been taken out of sugar cane cultivation and put to other uses (e.g. urbanization, diversified agriculture, fallowed fields).

The vegetation in the region is largely determined by the history of cultivation (or disturbance) on each parcel of land. Nagata (1996) has identified eight plant communities within the State's 1,300-acre project area: 1) Abandoned Cane Fields, 2) Fallowed Fields Mixed Herb Association, 3) Fallowed Fields Grassland Association, 4) Abandoned Fields, 5) Cultivated Fields, 6) Grasslands, 7) Gulch Association, and 8) Roadside Vegetation.

Within the Kapolei Parkway area, Char (2004, Appendix E), documents the dominant vegetation type as koa haole / buffel grass scrub.

Within the Kapolei property there are 80 to 100 plant species common to former sugar cane lands (Appendices A and B). Only two species are indigenous (Sida fallax or ilima and Jacquemontia ovalifolia or pauchiiaka), two are probably indigenous (Waltheria indica or uhaloa and Abutilon incanum or hoary abutilon), that is, they are native to the Hawaiian islands and elsewhere; and one, the subject plant, Abutilon menziesii or kooloaula is endemic, or native only to the Hawaiian Islands. The vast numbers of plants are non-native.

As previously mentioned, Abutilon menziesii is not known to be present on the areas which are in crop cultivation.

The Kaloi Gulch and Hunehune tributary gulch are intermittent and originate north, or mauka, of the H-1 Interstate Freeway. As stated above, past and recent surveys have found no A. menziesii within or directly adjacent to the gulches (Nagata 1996 and Char 1997, 1997, 2003, 2004).

(1c) The endangered, threatened, proposed, and candidate species known or reasonably expected to occur in the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types in the plan area.

Except as noted above, A. menziesii has been found throughout the natural communities and ecosystems of the Kapolei property.

Abutilon menziesii, also known by its Hawaiian name kooloaula, is a shrub in the Mallow Family (Malvaceae) with light green heart-shaped leaves and characteristic small dark red to maroon flowers; hence, the plant is also commonly referred to as the red ilima. Photographs in Figure 2 show A. menziesii in the Kapolei habitat.

Abutilon menziesii was federally listed as an endangered species in 1986 and is now protected under the provisions of the federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, and Chapter 195D, Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS"), as amended. It is one of nine endangered species included in the Lanai Plant Cluster Recovery Plan (US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1994).

and the second second


en de la companya de la co La companya de la co

o karanta ka Barasa ka Barasa ka Barasa ka Ka

retardo de Todoria de Carlos de Tanto de Carlos de Car

en de la filonomia de la companya de la companya de la filonomia de la companya de la companya de la companya La companya de la co La companya de la co

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la



The general landscape of the Kapolei property in the general area of the Abutilon merzivali elusters consist of enchactous aliut weed species and abandoned sugarcane. North-South Road is proposed to the wast of the HECO casement (denoted by the utility poles in this 1997 photograph).



A. memicasii flowers are pendant (hanging) and approximately 3/4 to 1 inch in diameter. They range in color from deep maroon to light red with light green heartshaped leaves which are slightly fairy. This plant is located on the City's property ø



C. Mature A. menziesü is amidst weeds and remnant cane shortly after their discovery and prior to active management. (Photograph taken is 1997).

Photographs: Abutilon menzienii at Kapolei Property Habitat Conservation Plan for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei



Of the nine taxa described in the Lanai Recovery Plan, A. menziesii was assigned a high probability of recovery due to its larger population size, its resistance to some of the current threats, and the relative ease of propagation. The Lanai Recovery Plan does not describe the Kapolei population; the populations which are identified are on Lanai, Hawaii, and Maui.

At the time of the Nagata survey in September 1996, 38 individuals were recorded in a reconnaissance survey covering 80 percent of the State property. After the unusually heavy rains of November 1996, Char (1997) in a 100 percent survey of the areas described by Nagata recorded 86 plants at approximately the same locations as Nagata. In December 1997 Nagata performed a detailed survey and count which was followed by precise mapping. The survey and count resulted in 87 plants, 86 on the subject property and one (1) plant at an off-site location on City and County of Honolulu property at Renton Road adjacent to the southern boundary of the State property. Additional plants were identified by Char in 2004 on the City property. The plants are in four clusters (Clusters A, B, C, and E) one additional plant (Cluster D) was also identified (Figure 3). In October 2003, DLNR DOFAW produced an updated map (Figure 3A) depicting the baseline and 16 new plant locations. The new plants occur in close proximity of a mature plant and fall within the known and mapped clusters.

Since the previous baseline year of 1997 the numbers of individuals on the State-owned property have fluctuated with rainfall levels and it is anticipated that the number of individuals will continue to be dynamic. Therefore this HCP covers the entire population of *A. menziesii* within the State and City lands as depicted in Figure 5. To attempt to quantify the development impacts, a baseline population number of 93 (revised in 2004 to include City land) individuals has been assigned. This baseline of 93 individuals is differentiated from the approximate number of 50± currently existing plants. Table 1 describes the baseline distribution of *A. menziesii*.

Table 1. Baseline Population of Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei, 1997 (Revised to Include Cluster E., 2004)

Cluster	No. of Plants		
A	10		
В	14		
С	61		
D	1		
E	7		
TOTAL	93		

Cluster A consists of 10 individuals and is located at the southern end of the State project site. An existing dirt road situated in an east to west direction provides access to this cluster.


¹ The Kapolei population is distributed on State and City lands.

The second secon

en en en general de la company de la com La company de la company d La company de la company d

and the control of the

And the second of the second o






FIGURE 3A
Updated 2003 Bast Kapolei Abution menziesii
Population
Habitat Conservation Plan
for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei
maised by Persons Brinckerboff,
November 2003.

P. N. K.

Cluster B consists of 14 individuals located along the western boundary of the State property which is marked by a chain-link fence. Individuals in this cluster are spread in a north-south direction and are accessed from the dirt road near Cluster A at the southern end of the property.

Cluster C, the largest cluster consisting of 61 p lants occurs in the general area of the HECO powerline easement and primarily to the east of the powerline easement. The cluster is accessed from Mango Tree Road which is situated in an east-west direction. Several land uses would affect Cluster C, therefore three sub-areas identified as C-1, C-2 and C-3 have been designated.

 Sub-Area
 No. of Plants
 Land Use

 C-1
 14
 North-South Road

 C-2
 7
 Drainage/Open Space Corridor

 C-3
 40
 Residential, other urban uses

 TOTAL
 61

Table 2. Cluster C (Sub-Areas)

Cluster D consisted of a single plant in the central area of the State site; however, this individual has not been seen since the initial recording.

The individuals in Cluster E are spread over an 81-acre area and occur as single plants, except at one location along a fence line at the property boundary and access roadway. At this location, there is a large, multi-stemmed plant, a young single-stemmed plant, and a seedling. Cluster E is accessed from the dirt road which leads to the Ewa Villages Golf Course Maintenance Facility. A segment of Kapolei Parkway and future urban uses are planned at this location.

At the time of the 1997 Nagata survey, plants in the population were 1 to 3.5 feet in height and included juveniles and mature individuals. Approximately 74% of the population were taller than 3 feet, 20% were between 2 to 3 feet, and only 6% were between 1 to 2 feet. No seedlings or small plants were identified. In December 1997, 37% of the plants were flowering and/or fruiting (Nagata 1997).

Present Status. Through natural senescence and accidental take (1997 to 2001), the number of plants has declined to 30 to 50 plants (DLNR 2001): however, in 2003, DLNR recorded 16 new plants in close proximity of existing mature plants (DLNR 2003). The actual number of plants is difficult to determine due to the dry conditions at Kapolei; plants which may appear to be dead may possibly revive during the wet season (DLNR 2001).

Contributing to the decline of the Kapolei population was a January 2000 incident in which approximately four plants were crushed/destroyed (by being plowed) and seedlings were killed (DLNR DOFAW/V. Caraway, personal communication).

SECTION 2

Describe the activities contemplated to be undertaken within the plan area with sufficient detail to allow the department to evaluate the impact of the activities on the particular ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types within the plan area that are the focus of the plan habitat types in the plan area.

(2a) Description of the activities to be undertaken within the plan area in sufficient detail

The Kapolei projects include North-South Road, a segment of Kapolei Parkway, University of Hawaii West Oahu campus, Department of Hawaiian Home Lands residential homestead development, and unspecified urban uses on the DLNR lands. The projects are shown in a Conceptual Land Use Plan in Figure 4. Land ownership is shown in Figure 5 and described in Table 3.

Table 3. Landownership of the Parcels at the Kapolei Property

Project	TMK Number	Acres*		
DOT / North-South Road**	9-1-16: 109 Campbell Estate portion	24.6 39.1		
City & County of Honolulu Kapolei Parkway and Urban Uses	9-1-17: por. 069 9-1-17: por. 075	***41.0 / 6.3 ***40.0 / 2.7		
DHHL	9-1-16: 108**** 9-1-18: 003	165.466 44.235		
UHWO	9-1-16: por. 108 and 9-1-16: 120	500.327		
DLNR AND THE BELL	9-1-16: 008 9-1-17: 071 9-1-17: 088 9-1-17: 086 9-1-18: 005	31.915 204.254 200.000 40.619 65.999		

Approximate area. (NOTE: Information gathering is still in process due to recent changes in land disposition.)
 North-South Road alignment is under State DLNR and Campbell Estate ownership; to be transferred to DOT in

^{2004.} Legal subdivision is still pending.

^{***} Approximately 81 acres of the vacant City property was surveyed by Char (2004); however, only approximately 9 acres comprise the Kapolei Parkway segment ROW. The remaining land is anticipated to be urbanized.

Parcel 108 includes another future segment of Kapolei Parkway; this roadway segment is to be sub-divided out of Parcel 108. The net area to DHHL will be approximately 200 acres.






FIGURE 4 Conceptual Land Use Plan Kapolei Property Habitat Conservation Plan for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei









FIGURE 5 Landownership Map Habitat Conservation Plan for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei



The distribution of A. menziesii on the Kapolei property, and more specifically, within the development parcels, is shown in Figure 6. Surveys conducted since 1996 have not identified A. menziesii in Kaloi and Hunehune Gulches (Nagata 1996, 1997; Char 1997, 2003, 2004 and DLNR 2001, 2003); thus the HCP is not affected by activities proposed at either gulch location.² The approximate numbers of individuals are described in Table 4 following the descriptions of the proposed projects.

North-South Road

The North-South Road, a new 6-lane major collector roadway, will traverse the Kapolei property and will provide regional access to the Interstate H-1 Freeway, connecting the Interstate Route H-1 ("H-1 Freeway") (at the north end) to a future segment of Kapolei Parkway (at the south end), a distance of approximately 3.6 km (2.2 miles). Its alignment is adjacent to and toward the west of the corridor delineated by the existing HECO power line electrical easement. The new roadway is designed with three vehicular lanes in each direction, a planting median, and sidewalks on both sides with an overall width of 116 feet.

The overall schedule for the North-South Road began with the initial planning in 1994 and an anticipated completion in 2008:

Planning:

June 1994 to September 2004

Design:

Aug 1997 to Sept 2004

Construction: Dec 2004 to Dec 2008


The North-South Road construction is planned to be built in two phases:

- Phase 1 (late 2004 2007) Mass grading for six (6) lanes and drainage improvements; construction of three (3) highway lanes from Kapolei Parkway to H-1 Freeway.
- Phase 2 (late 2005 @2008) Construction of additional three (3) lanes; construction of drainage detention basins, construction of intersections at Kapolei Parkway and Farrington Highway; construction of interchange at H-1 Freeway.

The Phase 1 component includes roadway and drainage grading and the construction of three (of the six) lanes on the Kapolei property. The impact to A. menziesii is anticipated in Phase 1.

The Phase 2 components include the construction of the additional three lanes and intersections on the Kapolei property. The off-site construction of the Interchange at the H-1 Freeway will also be completed in Phase 2. The Interchange would provide an access to the Kapolei downtown area and the Ewa plain. There are no known A. menziesii within the area of the Interchange, therefore,

² DOT will coordinate with the US Department of the Army Corps of Engineers on matters related to Kaloi and Hunehune gulches.



references to the North-South Road development is understood to be the segment through the Kapolei property.

A staging area and construction transit area approximately 50 feet to 75 feet wide along the west boundary of the North-South Road corridor is required; however, no known plants are present along this strip.

As a federal-aid highway, the North-South Road is undergoing a National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") review and Endangered Species Act, Section 7 consultation. The subject HCP will be submitted to USFWS as a component of the required biological assessment for the Section 7 process.

Kapolei Parkway Segment

The Kapolei Parkway, a new 6-lane major collector roadway, will traverse the City's Ewa Villages property and will provide alternate regional access to the H-1 Freeway, connecting the North-South Road at the north end to Renton Road at the south end; a distance of approximately 0.7 miles. The new roadway is designed with three vehicular lanes in each direction, a planting median, and sidewalk on one side and a multiuse (pedestrian and bicycle) pathway on the other side with an overall width of 116 feet. The project will include underground utilities (including water, sanitary sewer, electrical, and communication systems), storm drainage system, street lighting system, and landscape irrigation system.

The overall schedule for this segment of Kapolei Parkway began with initial planning in 1994, and the City anticipates completion in 2008:

Planning:

June 1994 to September 2004

Design:

December 2001 to December 2005

Construction: June 2006 to December 2008

The Kapolei Parkway roadway is planned for construction in two phases:

- Phase 1 (mid 2006 2007) Mass grading for six (6) lanes; construction of three (3) makai travel lanes from North-South Road to Renton Road.
- Phase 2 (late 2007 2008) Construction of additional three (3) mauka lanes. The construction of these lanes is to be coordinated with completion of the North-South Road.

The Phase 1 components include roadway and drainage grading, the construction of three (of the six) lanes, and a portion of the underground utilities, storm drainage system, street lighting system, and landscape irrigation system on the Ewa Villages property. The impact to A, menziesii is anticipated in Phase 1.

The Phase 2 components include the construction of the additional three lanes and the balance of the underground utilities, storm drainage system, street lighting system, and landscape irrigation system on the Ewa Villages property.

A staging and construction transit area is required; it will be located where no known plants are present.

As a federal-aid highway, this segment of Kapolei Parkway is undergoing a NEPA review and Endangered Species Act, Section 7 consultation. The subject HCP will be submitted to USFWS as a component of the required biological assessment for the Section 7 process.

The City is planning to schedule construction of an additional three (3) lanes for the Kapolei Parkway segment from the Renton Road intersection (at the north end) to its connection to the existing Kapolei Parkway in the vicinity of the OR&L track right-of-way (at the south end) in coordination with the above Phase 2 segment and North-South Road completion. There are no known A. menziesii plants within this area (Char 2004). This latter construction activity will complete this 6-lane major collector roadway segment with the same typical roadway section with an overall width of 116 feet, matching the segment proposed above the Renton Road intersection.

University of Hawaii West Oahu

In September 2002, the BLNR conveyed in fee approximately 500 acres of land to the University of Hawaii for its West Oahu campus. The BLNR also issued to the University a right-of-entry to the property for planning purposes. The UH West Oahu property is bordered by the proposed North-South Road to the east, Farrington Highway to the north, the Kapolei Golf Course and Villages of Kapolei to the west, and DHHL lands to the south. The Kaloi Gulch and Hunehune Gulch traverse this parcel.

At the present time, portions of the UH West Oahu property are being leased for agricultural crop farming under revocable permits. A small portion of the 500-acre property (.826 acres) is also presently encumbered by revocable permit to Kapolei People's Inc. dba Kapolei Golf Course for their parking lot. Approximately two-thirds of the leased area has been cleared and plowed in preparation for planting.

The UH West Oahu will be a major educational facility in Kapolei, primarily serving the Leeward and Central Oahu region. The University is currently exploring options for the development of the campus and at present is planning for an approximately 100-acre campus which will be developed in phases to an ultimate student population of 7,600. Additionally, about 150 acres of land will be allocated on the property for future campus expansion beyond the 7,600 student population. Finally, the University is considering a number of land use options for the remaining 250 acres of lands within the 500-acre property to serve the campus and surrounding region. Construction of the campus could begin in the latter part of 2005, with a completion date of Fall 2007. The initial phase of campus development would be located in the northeastern portion of the property, in close proximity to the Farrington Highway.

There are very few Abutilon menziesii on this area of the Kapolei property. A recent survey in June 2003 by Char (Appendix D) confirms that there are two to three individuals from Cluster C at the south boundary and the one individual in Cluster D is no longer alive. However, Char (2003) notes that seeds may be present in the soil.

Department of Hawaiian Home Lands

In November 2002, BLNR granted DHHL a right-of-entry to approximately 200 acres at the Kapolei property and commenced the process to transfer those parcels to DHHL. The two parcels are to the west of the proposed North-South Road and at the northern and southern points of the Kapolei property. The northern property, Parcel 3, is approximately 49 acres and located between Farrington Highway and the H-1 Freeway. Directly to the south is the proposed UHWO property. The southern property, Parcel 108 (portion), is approximately 165 acres and directly adjacent to the UHWO southern boundary. Kapolei Golf Course and the Villages of Kapolei are to the west with the North-South Road to the east and DLNR lands beyond that. A portion of Parcel 108 will require future subdivision for the Kapolei Parkway extension in an east-west orientation. This latter subdivision will bisect the property into two non-contiguous parcels.

The mission of DHHL is to develop and deliver homesteads to qualified native Hawaiians pursuant to the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act. The acquisition of these parcels will allow DHHL to plan and develop approximately 1,000 residential homesteads and potentially some commercial and community facility uses to serve the new subdivision over a 8 to 10 year period from 2006-2016. Conceptually, the first increment is targeted to be completed in 2006. Ownership by DHHL and development of these uses have been described in the EIS for the East Kapolei Master Plan.

Abutilon menziesii is present on DHHL's Parcel 108 (portion) at Clusters A and B. Based on the baseline population, 10 individuals comprise Cluster A and 14 individuals comprise Cluster B. The development sequence will affect Cluster B initially followed by Cluster A.

Department of Land and Natural Resources

The remaining unassigned parcels (formerly part of the East Kapolei Master Plan) total approximately 600 acres in five parcels; the parcels are now under the authority of the DLNR Land Division and are to the east of the proposed North-South Road and the north of Farrington Highway.

The Environmental Impact Statement for the East Kapolei Master Plan designates urban uses including residential, public schools, parks, roadways as well as roadways and open space areas on these parcels which would serve as drainage detention basins. The ultimate uses of these lands will be determined in the future when a development proposal is again proposed by the State or other non-governmental entity.

More than half of the A. menziesii in Cluster C occur on the DLNR lands.

Kapolei Projects Conceptual Development Schedule

The commencement of construction for the North-South Road is planned for late 2004 with completion in 2008. The other Kapolei projects are in the early stages of planning and schedules have not yet been determined. Thus, Table 4 below is conceptual and may be changed. The impact to the full population of A. menziesii is assumed over 20 years as described in this HCP. However, even if development plans are delayed, the HCP strategies and mitigation measures will be

completed in its entirety. Moreover, in accordance with the expiration date of the incidental take license, any remaining in situ plants after July 31, 2021, will remain within the Kapolei property.

Table 4. Conceptual Development Phasing and Impacts to Plant Clusters

Development Phase* (Conceptual)	Plant Cluster	No. of Plants**	Land Use (Conceptual)
2004-2007 North South Road (Phase 1) 2005-2008 North-South Road (Phase 2)	C	14+ 7 =21 0	Roadway (Initial Lanes 1-3, Drainage basins) Roadway (Lanes 4-6; intersections; interchange); phasing of drainage channel
2006 – 2007 Kapolei Parkway (Phase 1) 2007 – 2008 Kapolei Parkway (Phase 2)	E :	0 1	Roadway (Mass grading for 6 lanes; Lanes 1-3) Roadway (Lanes 4-6)
- City Land / Future Urban Uses***	E	4 1	Future Urban uses
2006-2016 DHHL (Parcel 108 por.)	A B	10 14	Residential Residential
2005 -2007 UHWO (Phase 1) UHWO (Phase 2)*		0	University campus University campus extension
UHWO (Future Phase)*	C, D	: ::: 4 :	Other related uses (to be determined)
DLNR lands*	C****	37	Ultimate Uses*****: Residential, Schools, Parks, Roadways

- Development phases are conceptual and development schedules have yet to be determined at this time.
- ** The number of plants is estimated and is based on the baseline population of 93 plants.
- *** Future urban uses may include residential development by DHHL.
- **** Sub-population includes plants within the 18-acre contingency reserve site.
- ***** Ultimate uses are as proposed by HCDCH for the East Kapolei Master Plan.
- (2b) Evaluation of the impact of the activities on the particular ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types within the plan area

The ultimate development of the Kapolei projects would result in incidental take of all A. menziesii plants and the plants are unlikely to survive in their current locations. Much of the non-native plant community on the proposed development sites will also be heavily impacted.

In anticipation of the impacts, an Interim Management Program has been implemented through an agreement between HCDCH and DLNR, and subsequently DOT, as described in Section 3, Strategy (1). Under Strategy (1), the following have been accomplished: 1) DOFAW successfully propagated the genetic representation of the Kapolei population³, 2) DOFAW initiated outplanting at three sites:

³ Propagules for genetic representation, including cuttings and seeds, of Kapolei population individuals, were collected from plants mature enough (multi-stemmed of fruiting) or alive at the commencement of collection. Immature individuals and the recently integrated City property individuals are yet to be genetically represented. The genetic resource of expired individuals not yet represented would attempt to be reclaimed through the soil seed bank.

Koko Crater (2000), Kaena Point (2002), and Honouliuli (2002), and 3) monitoring of those sites has been ongoing. The primary purpose of the HCP is the survival of East Kapolei's *Abutilon menziesii* and this will be accomplished through various identified measures including the "relocation" of the basic plan area of this species.

As the agency to implement the HCP, DLNR will pursue use of additional appropriate outplanting sites, and outplanting will occur after all required clearances have been obtained. The selection of appropriate outplanting sites is described in greater detail in Section 3 Strategy (4).

The HCP also establishes an 18-acre contingency reserve site (see Figures 5 and 11) which temporarily protects a colony of Cluster C from development until the short-term success criteria (as described in Section 7) are met at one wild site. Management of this area may include measures such as temporary fencing and firebreaks. If an outplanting site does not meet the short-term success criteria, this reserve site could be considered as a wild site.

SECTION 3

Identify the steps that will be taken to minimize and mitigate all negative impacts, including without limitation the impact of any authorized incidental take, with consideration of the full range of the species on the island so that cumulative impacts associated with the take can be adequately assessed; and the funding that will be available to implement those steps.

Described below are the details of the core elements of this HCP, including the mitigative steps and strategies for the impacts to Abutilon menziesii on the Kapolei property.

(3a) Full range of the species

Abutilon menziesii is uncommon and local in dry forests between elevations 200-520 meters on Lanai, East Maui, and Hawaii (Wagner 1999). On Oahu, a collection (Char 81.002, BISH) from an abandoned canefield at Barbers Point, Ewa, was made in 1981 and believed to represent an escapee from cultivation. At that time all cultivated plants on Oahu were descendent from plants derived from individuals propagated on the island of Hawaii. Differences in leaf morphology of progeny from this plant suggest that the Barbers Point plant may represent a distinct population (USFWS 1994). A single individual plant has recently been documented on Navy property at Lualualei (Moribe 1998 and Miyashiro 2001, personal communication). A. menziesii is also present in several botanical collections on Oahu including the Waimea Arboretum & Botanical Garden, the Honolulu Botanical Gardens, and Amy B. Greenwell Botanical Garden in Kealakekua in South Kona.

A database search by the Hawaii Natural Heritage Program for A. menziesti on Oahu resulted in the subject Kapolei population only.

The relationship of Kapolei plants and island of Hawaii plants is unknown at this time. Prior to the discovery of the Kapolei population, the area was not commonly known as a habitat for A. menziesii. Thus, DLNR botanists believe that the abundance and spatial distribution suggest that the Kapolei plants are probably natural remnants of a once more extensive Oahu population (Garnett 2001, personal communication) and not an escapee from cultivation as previously theorized. Moreover, the Kapolei population is the larger of the two known populations and accounts for approximately 99 percent of the known wild plants on Oahu (USFWS, L. Gibson).

(3b) Steps and strategies that will be taken to minimize and mitigate all negative impacts, including, without limitation, the impact of any authorized incidental take.

The strategies under consideration in this Habitat Conservation Plan include off-site and on-site measures which would be implemented, as listed in Table 5:

Table 5. Strategles to Assess and Mitigate the Development Impacts on Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei

Strategy	Mitigation Steps and Strategies
1	Interim Management Program (October 1998 to March 2000, extended to October 2001); Establish two test outplant wild population sites
2	Funding for the implementation of the HCP
3	Development schedule and mitigation phasing sequence
4	Establish new populations at three off-site locations
5	Long-term protection and maintenance of permanent A. menziesii populations
6	Appropriate research
7	Kapolei population strategies

Strategy (1) Interim Management Program (October 1998 to October 2001)

Through funding provided in 1998 by the Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii ("HCDCH"), HCDCH and DLNR established an agreement to implement a pre-construction period Interim Management Program to test the viability of establishing *Abutilon menziesii* at two offsite locations on Oahu.

On March 14, 2001, DOT delegated the expenditure of \$250,000 to DLNR for the implementation of the HCP strategies for Years 1 through 5. Funds became available in August 2001 and are subsequently being used by DLNR to complete Strategy (1) (Interim Management Program) of the HCP and to transition to HCP implementation to accomplish the overall HCP implementation strategies (described below).

The preliminary results of the Interim Program indicate that propagation of the species from cuttings and seed is highly successful in the nursery environment. Outplanting to offsite locations has also been successful in the first year. The Interim Management Report for Abutilon menziesii (DLNR 2001) and the Final Interim Management Report for Abutilon menziesii (DLNR 2003) are attached as Appendix F and Appendix G.

The Scope of Services of the Interim Management Program include the following tasks:

Task 1: Maintain in-situ population through monitoring, maintenance, and security (fire protection).

Known Kapolei plants have been marked with permanent stakes and mapped to a GIS layer. The existing plants are being maintained by weeding around them, and the application of herbicide, pesticide, and fertilizer, as necessary. A fire management strategy consisting for the following measures is being implemented to ensure that the plants are not accidentally destroyed.

- Identification of fire fighting resources available near the Kapolei population;
- Provide information to fire stations to assist them in protecting A.
 menziesii from fire;
- Identification of water resources near the Kapolei population.

The details of the fire management strategies are described in the Final Interim Management Report for Abutilon menziesii (DLNR DOFAW 2003, Appendix G).

Task 2: Propagate a total representation of plants through seeds and cuttings from the Kapolet Abutilon menziesii population. These plants will be used to maintain genetic representation of stock and provide stock for outplanting purposes. Work will be done at the existing State DLNR, Division of Forestry and Wildlife (DOFAW) nurseries.

All the known Kapolei population plants have been propagated through cuttings resulting in 630 first generation progeny from 62 in situ individuals.⁴ In addition, 220 seedlings have been produced from seed collected from the first generation nursery plants (grown from cuttings). Additional seeds have been distributed to Lyon Aboretum, the National Seed Storage Laboratory in Fort Collins, Colorado, and the Pahole Rare Plant Facility.

Task 3: Establish two new populations of Abutilon menziesii in appropriate habitat to allow for natural establishment and long term viability. Each outplanting site was planted with a representative sub-sample of the Kapolei population and each individual plant has been tagged with a permanent metal tag.

Three outplant populations of Abutilon menziesii have been initiated.

Outplant Site #1: Koko Crater Botanical Garden (Figure 7)

Through an agreement with DLNR DOFAW, the City and County of Honolulu Koko Crater Botanical Garden provided a 100 ft x 100 ft (10,000 sf) site for the initial planting. In November 2000, 140 Abutilon menziesii were planted in the 10,000 sf site, representing two complete sets of each of the original Kapolei plants. The Abutilon plot is in a public display area supported with drip irrigation. Hence, plants are lush and thriving. Koko Crater Botanical Garden staff will provide the long term care of these plants and will be propagating Abutilon menziesii from materials taken from these plants. Although this site is not being considered as a "wild site" its intent is as a living genetic repository of the full Kapolei population. DLNR will continue to coordinate the management regime (e.g. irrigation requirements, etc.) with Koko Crater Botanical Garden.

The outplant site is within a public garden setting and located in close proximity of one individual of another endangered Abutilon species (A. eremitopetalum) creating concerns about hybridization. Therefore, propagules from the Koko Crater outplanting will be limited to cuttings. Recent observations of this site indicate flowering, fruiting, and setting of seeds (personal communication, N. Sugii). The outplantings will be monitored for seedling recruitment, however due to the potential for hybridization with A. eremitopetalum, propagules for outplanting will be limited to cuttings.


⁴ The baseline number of in situ plants at the Kapolei population numbered 93 individuals; however, through natural causes, the number had declined to 62 individuals at the time Task 2 was implemented, resulting in a genetic representation of only those existing at that time. Propagation of any subsequent "new" in situ plants (and including the plants on City property) which would constitute parental stock is also being undertaken by DLNR.







FIGURE 7 Photographs: Koko Craer Bouanical Gardens (Outplant Site #1) Habitat Conservation Plan for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei



C. Each plant is tagged and numbered for record keeping.

Outplant Site #2: Kaena Point State Park (Wild Site #1) (Figure 8)

The Kaena Point outplanting site was started in April 2001. The land is under the jurisdiction of the DLNR, Division of State Parks (TMK: 6-9-01: 4).

The approximately 3-acre outplanting site was established with two distinct planting areas separated by a four-wheel drive road. The site is completely protected from four-wheel drive vehicles by a rock barrier along the dirt road fronting the outplanting site. Site preparation included clearing the non-native brush and grass with weedcaters and with hand tools and treating the area with herbicide to prevent regrowth. A total of 142 Abutilon menziesii plants have been planted in the Kaerna Point outplanting site. In addition, 20 other native species have been planted to create a coastal strand community.

The plants were irrigated at the site to promote their establishment and to encourage the production of a maximum amount of seed to allow the build up of the seed barnk and natural establishment of seedlings. The survival rate for plants at this site has been 98%. The 142 plants at this site represent a total of 44 of the original East Kapolei plants. Two Abutilon seedlings have grown naturally from seed produced by plants outplanted at this site. These seedlings have grown large enough to be considered part of this population.

The DLNR received permission from the U.S. Air Force to tap into the 4-inch water main that runs adjacent to the outplanting site allowing the construction of a complete irrigation system and including a galvanized steel water tank with a capacity of approximately 3,000 gallons to provide a water reserve for irrigating the plants. This tank is necessary because the 4-inch water main is pressurized only two days a week for 4 hours per day.

The threats to the Kaena Point outplanting site include the rapid growth of weeds and wild fires. This site was established in area with deep soil that was dominated by Guinea grass (<u>Panicum maximum</u>) and koa haole (<u>Leucaena leucocephala</u>). DLNR staff have had difficulty keeping up with the weed threat presented by these species and others at this outplanting site. To control weeds in the outplanting site, DLNR has used a variety of labor including regular Natural Area Reserves System employees, temporary workers such as the Emergency Environmental Workforce, and volunteers.

The other major threat to this outplanting site is fire. The fire strategy and fire fighting resources includes the installed water tank, the installed 2-inch outlet to allow fire engine hookup near the road, and the planting of native plants along the perimeter of the outplanting site to serve as a fuel break.

On August 20, 2003, a brush fire started by a vehicle about ¾ mile away burned a total of 160 acres along the coastal flats up to the nearby Kuaokala Game

and the second of the second o

en de la companya de la co La companya de la co


organisa (filosoficial) de la companio de la compa La companio de la co







D. Kaena Point State Park is a popular hiking, bikirg, fishing, and svelmming recreational area along its 2.7 mile length.



Management Area at about 1,100 feet elevation. This fire started late at night and was fanned by winds of 25 to 35 mph and burned to the edge of the outplanting site and around it. The fire moved so quickly that Honolulu Fire Department engine companies were unable to engage the fire near the ignition point or near the outplanting site resulting in a burn of approximately 30 percent of the 3-acre outplanting site. The effects of the fire on the *Abutilon menziesii* plants at the site are unknown at this time. An assessment will be made when the rainy season commences and there is sufficient moisture for growth.

The plants along the edge were affected by the flames but not completely consumed. It is possible that many of these Abutilon menziesii plants will survive. The fire did burn many of the other native species planted in the area to serve as a fuel break along the front of the outplanting site. This fire would have been more damaging if these plants had not been in place. These fuel break plants will need to be replaced. The fire did destroy all irrigation pipes in the area and will need replacement. The lesson learned from this experience is that the fuel break plantings are perhaps, the most useful part of the fire strategy. The fuel break portion of this fire management strategy needs to be replaced and developed further with a wider buffer of fire resistant species established to encompass the entire outplanting site.

Outplant Site #3: Honouliuli Unit of the Pearl Harbor National Wildlife Refuge (Wild Site #2) (Figure 9)

A third outplanting site has been developed at the Honouliuli Unit of the US Fish and Wildlife Service Pearl Harbor National Wildlife Refuge which borders the West Loch of Pearl Harbor (TMK: 9-1-17). USFWS has a cooperative agreement with the Navy to manage the site as a refuge in perpetuity. This 37-acre unit is mostly a fresh water wetland managed for a variety of endangered water birds. The entire Honouliuli Unit is enclosed in an eight-foot chain link sence that provides predator control for the birds and security for the plants. DLNR DOFAW has selected and planted an upland area within this Unit and installed an irrigation system to assist with the initial establishment of plants.


There are two separate areas being used for outplanting within the refuge. The first consists of a narrow strip, approximately 20 by 600 feet, while the second site is approximately 60 by 300 feet. The first planting commenced on March 15, 2002 in the 20 by 600-foot site. Work at the second location began in January of 2003. Both locations are on an imigation system and are managed entirely by DLNR DOFAW staff.

At this time the planting includes a total of 61 Abutilon menziesii plants at the Honouliuli Unit with a survival rate of 96 percent of the outplants. Plants from cuttings from 21 of the original East Kapolei plants are represented here. In addition, 21 seedlings of known parentage and 10 seedlings of unknown parentage that were removed from the East Kapolei population in the spring of 2002 have been planted here.

grand to the state of the state

in the second of the second of





C. A low-elevition Greenhouse was constructed under the Interim Management Program to acclimatize the plants propagated at the higher elevation Pahole Rare Plant Nursery. The location of the Greenhouse is on State land at Mokulcia in the vicinity of Dillingham Airfield.

A. The outplanting at the Honouliuli Unit of the Pearl Harbor National Wildlife Refuge (Outplant Site #3/Wild Site #2) is at 2 locations along the western boundary of the refuge. This 20 ft X 600 ft strip is along the perimeter feweline.



B. The second Honouliuli Refuge outplanting site (60 ft X 300 ft) overlooks the USFWS managed endangered waterbird refuge. Planting communeed at Honoululi in March 2002.

FIGURE 9
Photographs: Honouliu!i NWR (Outplant Site #3/Wild Site #2)
and Dillingham Greenhouse
Habitat Conservation Plan
for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei

A small number of the seedlings produced at the Kapolei population (approximately 10%) during the spring of 2002 were left there and subsequently perished. Three plants produced from seed were also planted at Honouliuli. The amount of area covered by this outplanting site is approximately ½ acre. There is sufficient area to add many more plants to this site.

The fire threat at this site is minimal. The eight-foot chain link fence provides a barrier to most of the possible ignition sources. A buffer of approximately 6 feet of bare ground is in place just inside most of the perimeter fence of this unit to serve as a barrier to predators of the endangered water birds. This buffer strip also serves as a firebreak to the outplanting site. The portion of the fence that doesn't have this buffer has fresh water marsh just inside the fence. The fire management strategy for this site is to ensure that this buffer strip remains in place.

Task 4: Research the biology of the species and determine seed storage requirements, salt and pathogen influence, and the best herbivore control methods.

Granular diazinon has been tested to determine success at controlling ants and azatin and dursban have been tested on a few plants to determine toxicity. Seed have been stored in an appropriate seed bank facility. Additional research is discussed under Strategy (6) below.

Task 5: Provide partial funding for the construction of a low-elevation greenhouse dedicated to growing A. menziesii and other threatened and endangered plant species on Oahu. This greenhouse would serve as a long-term greenhouse, to be owned and operated by the Division of Forestry and Wildlife, for low elevation threatened and endangered plant species on Oahu, including A. menziesii from the Kapolei population for the duration of HCP implementation.

The construction of the Dillingham Greenhouse by DLNR DOFAW has been completed (see Figure 9).

A 6,000 square foot nursery dedicated to the propagation of *Abutilon menziesii* and other threatened and endangered plant species on Oahu is located near the base of the Kealia Trail head, just behind the western end of Dillingham Airstrip in Mokuleia. The land falls under the control of the DLNR Land Division and is in the process of being transferred to DOFAW. The construction included the installation of the water and electrical systems. The greenhouse is 130 feet long by 40 feet wide by 12 feet tall. It is divided into an upper and a lower section along the entire length. All propagation of *A. menziesii* is now at this new facility.

Strategy (2) Funding for the Implementation of the HCP

The HCP's active mitigation effort will span a period of 20 years. The HCP is intended to provide adequate funding for the 20-year period beginning August 1, 2001 to July 31, 2021. Following the completion of 20 years of active mitigation, the HCP will provide additional funds for management of three wild outplanted sites.

The allotment for active mitigation over 20 years is valued at \$1,000,000 averaging \$250,000 over each five-year increment. This amount is pledged by DOT through funds which have already been delegated (\$250,000) and funds which are to be released and delegated upon the approval of the HCP (\$750,000).

Additional funds for the 20-year active mitigation period and for the post-Year 20 management period are from two sources: (1) Contingency Fund (\$200,000) and (2) Interest earned on monies delegated (preliminary calculation of \$440,000). Both of these fund sources are dynamic in nature and subject to change. The Contingency Fund of \$200,000 would include an initial deposit of the full amount by DOT in 2005 and then be augmented by Cooperators. Cooperators are defined as other Kapolei property developers who would become sub-permittees of DOT's Incidental Take License through a Certificate of Inclusion. The Interest earned is subject to the prevailing interest rates and actual drawdown of the principle.

The funding sources for the HCP are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6. Funding Sources for the Habitat Conservation Plan (2001 to 2021+)

	HCP Phase / Time Period	Cost (in 2004 Dollars)	Source
(1)	Interim Management Program October 1998 – October 2001	\$67,850 (\$40,000 only has been remitted)	Special Funds by HCDCH
(2)	HCP Mitigation Period (2001 – 2021) Year 1 to Year 5 August 1, 2001 – July 31, 2006	\$50,000/yr for five years. (\$250,000 deposited March 14, 2001, with funds available August 1, 2001)	DOT funds
(3)	HCP Mitigation Period (2001 – 2021) Year 6 to Year 20 August 1, 2007 – July 31, 2021	\$750,000 for 15 years. (Equivalent of \$50,000 average per year for 15 years)	DOT funds (Funds appropriated by State Legislature, pending HCP approval & Governor's release of funds, about June 2004)
(4)	HCP Mitigation Period and Contingency Period (2005 – 2021+) Year 4 to Year 20+	\$200,000 (Initial full deposit of \$200,000 by DOT in 2005)	Contingency Fund (Fund to be augmented by Cooperators)
(5)	Post Year 20+ Management of Three (3) Wild Outplant Sites August 1, 2021 – Undetermined future	This amount is anticipated to be available from the remaining amount of the Contingency Fund and Interest income.	Remaining Contingency Fund and Interest earned on Items (3) and (4)

Funding for Years 1 – 20 of HCP Implementation

The provision for the establishment of the endangered species trust fund to be administered by DLNR is described in HRS Chapter195D-31. It states "the funds shall be held separate and apart from all other moneys, funds, and accounts in the state treasury, provided the moneys received as deposits or contributions from private sources shall be deposited and accounted for in accordance with the conditions established by the agencies or persons making the contribution. Earnings on the investment of the assets of the fund shall become part of the fund. Any balance in the fund at the end of a fiscal year shall be carried forward to the next fiscal year."

DOT intends to delegate a total of \$1,000,000 for implementation of the HCP over a 20-year period from August 1, 2001 to July 31, 2021.

Contingency Fund - Funding After the 20th Year

Funding is provided for unanticipated events and for the management of three wild sites in the years following the 20-year HCP period.

DOT will establish a \$200,000 contingency fund for the following purposes: 1) to finance unanticipated costs incurred by DLNR in the implementation of the HCP measures; and 2) to fund the management and monitoring of three "wild" populations beyond 20 years. The initial \$200,000 deposit by DOT in 2005 will be augmented by Cooperators in the Incidental Take License, who have filed a certificate of inclusion with DOT.

Certificate of Inclusion

A Certificate of Inclusion would indicate the following:

- a. DOT will be the holder of the Incidental Take License ("ITL").
- b. Other agencies who propose to develop projects at the Kapolei property may have the protection of the ITL by obtaining a fully-executed Certificate of Inclusion and filing this document with DLNR.
- c. The DOT, in consultation with DLNR, may require that other agencies contribute their various resources in order to sustain the mitigation effort of this HCP.

Memorandum of Agreement

DOT and DLNR have prepared a Memorandum of Agreement ("MOA") to implement the HCP. The MOA describes and states the following:

On August 1, 2001, DLNR shall implement the tasks set forth in "Exhibit A", attached hereto
and incorporated herein. DLNR shall provide reasonable safeguards to secure the existence
of at least three (3) "wild" Abutilon menziesii populations in appropriate protected habitats.

- These populations will be maintained and managed beginning on the 1st day of August, 2001, and ending on the 31st day of July, 2021 or until all of the "success criteria" of the HCP has been accomplished.
- 3. DOT has delegated the expenditure of \$250,000 from Act 328, SLH 1997, Item C0135, as amended by Act 116, SLH 1998, North-South Road, Kapolei Parkway to Interstate Route H-1, Oahu, to DLNR for the purpose of implementing mitigative strategies for the endangered Abutilon menziesii, thereby insuring funds to finance the HCP mitigative strategies costs for its first five years.
- 4. DOT shall also delegate to DLNR an additional lump sum amount of \$750,000, which is intended to provide adequate funding for a period of fifteen (15) years from August 1, 2006. The precise amount needed to finance the mitigation effort will be estimated by DLNR and approved by DOT. The estimate shall not exceed \$250,000 for 5 years, and funding will be used specifically to cover the expenses of DLNR, which relate to the mitigation of impacts to the Kapolei Abutilon menziesii. Interest earned on the funding, as delegated to DLNR, shall be retained by DLNR, be reserved to finance any additional mitigation beyond the term of the HCP, and be utilized in accordance with the purposes of the HCP. If a portion of the funding is unexpended due to an early termination of this MOA or the HCP, such funding shall be returned to DOT.

DOT shall also delegate to DLNR an additional lump sum of \$200,000 to serve as a "contingency fund", available over the term of the HCP. The contingency fund shall be used for emergency response, site development costs or other unanticipated expenditures required to fulfill the purposes of the HCP. The contingency fund is subject to legislative appropriation.

All funding as directly or indirectly transferred by DOT to DLNR, shall be retained by DLNR, for the planned recovery of the *Abutilon menziesii*, until the "success criteria" is fully attained. If it is determined that all of the "success criteria" have been satisfactorily accomplished, the unexpended funds as of the date of the determination, shall be returned to DOT.

In the event that additional funds for continued implementation of the HCP are needed, the DOT will seek alternative funding sources, including, but not limited to, transfers from the project's construction budget, participation by other State departments, and a separate legislative appropriation.

- 5. The MOA shall be null and void if the Board of Land and Natural Resources (or the State Legislature, as necessary) does not approve the HCP for the Abutilon menziesii in Kapolei.
- 6. The MOA may be terminated at any time by written consent of the parties of this agreement and any respective remaining funds shall be returned to DOT.
- 7. The MOA may be amended at any time by written consent of the parties of this agreement.

Strategy (3) Development schedule and mitigation phasing sequence

The period from 1997 to 2004 for the preparation of the HCP was unforseen at the start of the HCP planning period. The delay has been attributed to a number of factors including funding issues, real estate market conditions, analyses of regional drainage issues, and most recently, legislative amendments to the HCP law (Chapter 195D) to enable public agencies / landowners to participate in the HCP process.

This delay has provided an opportunity to test the HCP mitigation measures, specifically, the viability of propagating this species and to initiate outplant populations of A. menziesii. A further unanticipated consequence of the prolonged delay is the changes in land uses and ownership of parcels at the Kapolei property.

The decision of the Endangered Species Recovery Committee in the 2002 review established a review period of 15 years with a cap at 20 years for the subject HCP. However, presently, a 20-year implementation and a ctive management time period is a nticipated, followed by any necessary management at three wild sites after the conclusion of the 20th year.

A tentative conceptual schedule which represents the best information available at this time is provided in Table 7 to determine the order of impact of development on the Kapolei population. Once construction has commenced the impacts would occur incrementally over approximately 20 years during the Kapolei projects development period. The area of greatest impact is in the south of the property where A. menziesii are concentrated.

Table 7. Conceptual Development Phasing and Impacts to Plant Clusters

Development Phase* (Conceptual)	Cluster	No. of Plants	-Land Use
2005 to 2008 (North-South Road)	C-1	14	Roadway
	C-2	7	Drainage basins
2006 to 2008 (Kapolei Parkway Segment) Future Urban Uses (undetermined schedule)	E E	3 4	Roadway Infill Residential Uses (Future)
2005 to 2014 (DHHL Homesteads)	A	10 14	Residential
200_ to 20 (UHWO)**	С	0	Campus
	C, D	3	Campus related uses
20 to 2020 (DLNR other lands)	C-3***	38	Residential, Parks, Schools, etc.,

Development phases are conceptual and development schedules have yet to be determined at this time.

^{**} UHWO development schedule has not yet been established.

^{***} Sub-cluster C-3 incorporates the 18-acre contingency reserve which will remain in place until the short-term success criteria are met at one outplant wild population.

The implementation of the mitigation measures described herein and a phasing sequence and conceptual schedule is shown in Table 8. The schedule assumes a favorable approval of the HCP.

Table 8. HCP Implementation Schedule (Conceptual)

Phases	organisticani denoga e a Lee Actions of Central parts of the second				
Interim Management Program 1998 – 2001 (Extended to 2003) HCDCH funds Interim Management Programs - Implemented by DLNR -Test Outplant Site #1 (Koko Crater) planted (130 plants / November 2000) -Test Outplant Site #2 / Wild Site #1 (Kaena State Park) planted (April 2001) -Test Outplant Site #3 / Wild Site #2 (Honouliuli National Wildlife Refuge) (2002-Dillingham Greenhouse under construction (2002-2003) DOT deposits initial \$250,000 for Years 1-5 (2001 - 2006 - in part, to conclude the program and to initiate the first five-year increment of HCP implementation) to Dimplement the HCP. [NOTE: Funds were available in August 2001 for Year 1.] -DLNR hires staff to implement the HCP					
HCP Review and Approval / Federal Section 7 Consultation 2003-2004	-BLNR review/approval of HCP -DOT/DLNR establish MOA -Approval of Incidental Take License -DOT delegates \$750,000 to Years 6-20 funding -Section 7 consultation initiated and concluded with USFWS				
HCP Implementation 2001 – 2021	- Establish the 18-acre contingency reserve at the in situ Kapolei population - Initiate and manage additional appropriate outplanting sites - Annual reporting - analyze success criteria, reporting of progress, and applying adaptive management strategies, as appropriate - Implement all other measures identified in the HCP - Annual fiscal reporting to DOT by DLNR - Development of Kapolei projects (Conceptually described in Table 7)				
Long-term Management (After 2021)	Appropriately manage 3 established outplant wild sites				

The basis for this mitigation phasing sequence, although conceptual, is the result of the initial results of the Interim Program. This is based on the successful nursery propagation and initial outplanting to the three outplanting sites (Koko Crater, Kaena Point State Park, and Honouliuli National Wildlife Refuge).

Strategy (4) Establish new populations at three off-site locations

The HCP outlines a strategy to take cuttings and collect seeds from the existing *Abutilon menziesii* plants at the Kapolei property prior to their removal and to use these materials to: 1) maintain genetic representation of the original population by growing cuttings in nurseries and placing seeds in seed storage facilities; and 2) to establish three new wild populations in protected areas elsewhere on Oahu.

Described below are the following: 1) Criteria for wild site selection, and 2) Candidate sites for outplanting

(4) 1. Criteria for Wild Site Selection

Wild sites for A. menziesii re-introduction is generally considered to be an area where the species, after initial planting, with the support of temporary active management measures (e.g. irrigation, control of threats, etc.), will become self-sustaining and will naturally reproduce.

To establish criteria for site selection of appropriate candidate sites on Oahu for A. menziesii a brief summary description of the extant populations on Lanai and Maui was made. The physical characteristics, including vegetation type/plant communities, soils, elevation, rainfall, overall site characteristics, and identified threats were analyzed.

These sites are at Kaumalapau and Puu Mahanalua on Lanai and Kalialinui Gulch and Puu o Kali on Maui. Table 9 summarizes the findings and a preliminary analysis of the findings is summarized below.

Table 9. Summary description of extant Lanai and Maui populations of Abutilon menziesii

Site (Location)	Site Characteristics	Vegetation type/ Plant communities	Soil type	Elevation & Annual Rainfall	Threats
Kaumalapau (Lanai)	Abutilon menziesii occur in scattered colonies numbering approximately 400± in the area north of Kaumalapau Road to Paliamao Gulch. The area is formerly grazed by cattle and makai of overgrown abandoned pineapple fields.	Guinea grass (2 ft. to 3 ft. tall); scattered clumps of koa haole in swales	Molokai silty clay loam (MuC) Well-drained, deep soils on uplands	1,050 ft. to 1,150 ft. amsl 20 - 25 inches between Nov. and April; hot, dry summers	-Weeds -Fire
Puu Mahanalua "Twin Peaks" (Lanai)	A. menziesii is found at the base of the most mauka pun along abandoned pineapple field dirt roads. Mature colony of 35± plants (observed in 2001) with plant heights ranging from 4 ft. to 7 ft. Blossoms are unique in color (pale peach) and are upright (not pendant).	Guinca grass (6 ft. to 7 ft. tall)	Uwala silty clay loam (UwC) Well-drained soils on uplands, formed in material derived from basalt. Soil temperature of 70 degrees.	1,200 ft. amsl 15 - 25 inches between Nov. and April; very little rainfall in summer	-Weeds -Fire

Kalialinui Gulch	Plants occur along the top banks of gulch adjacent to cane haul road. Fields are in active	Open scattered koa haole/Guinea grass	Keahua silty clay loam (KnB)	690 ft. to 750 ft. amsl	-Weeds -Grazing -Fire
(Central Maui)	cultivation. Population in 3 colonies.		Well-drained reddish brown soils on uplands	15 to 25 inches	
	3 mature colonies of A. menziesii with plants reaching heights of 7 ft. to 8 ft. tall	and the month of the second	weathered from basic igneous rock; 20 to 40	Çürird	<u> </u>
	a Reconstitution of the second		inches deep	a ami	i i
Puu o Kali	Plants occur on shallow pockets of soil on aa lava flow.	Native Lowland dry shrubland	Very Stony Land (rVS). Young aa	500 ft. to 1,400 ft. amsl	-Weeds -Grazing
(Kihei, Leeward Maui)	Generally open with scattered stands of wiliwili (Erythrina sandwicensis).		lava that has a thin covering of volcanic ash that	20401.41	-Fire -Ungulates
			extends deep into	30 to 40 inches	A fencing
			cracks and depressions.		project is underway to
					control the deer grazing threat.

A preliminary analysis of the extant Lanai and Maui populations is summarized in Table 10.

Table 10. Preliminary analysis of physical characteristics of extant Lanai and Maui populations

Site Characteristics	Vegetation type/ Plant communities	/ Soil	Elevation & Rainfall
-Located between actively or formerly	-Presence of and abundance of alien grasses surround stands	-Well drained deep soils	-Elevation ranges: 500 - 1,400 amsl
cultivated fields and scrubland	of A. menziesii		-Annual rainfall range
-Threats include weeds, fire, and ungulates.	-Natural recruitment of seedling establishment		generally averages 20 inches; -USFWS (1995) notes that all
-At Puu o Kali, deer browsing threat to	unknown over time due to	eller de Fili	known populations are
seedlings (being controlled through	alien grass cover		frequently exposed to severe
fencing)	e vám em	1	drought and flooding
-Fire threats, species indicated to be		a 机基件	
somewhat fire tolerant (i.e. the Kapolei	1	" v i" i	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
population)	Main Rainau III		rien, e mai i
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	artina e valueti

In addition, the following set of guidelines for re-introduction is from the World Conservation Union⁵:

Choice of re-introduction site and type

 Site should be within the historic range of the species. For a re-introduction, there should be no remnant population to prevent disease spread, social disruption and introduction of alien

⁵Website: www.iucnsscrsg.org

genes. In some circumstances, a re-introduction may have to be made into an area which is fenced or otherwise delimited, but it should be within the species' former natural habitat and range.

- An introduction outside its historical range should be undertaken only as a last resort when
 no opportunities for re-introduction into the original site or range exist and only when a
 significant contribution to the conservation of the species will result.
- The re-introduction area should have assured their long-term protection (whether formal or otherwise).

Evaluation of re-introduction site

- Availability of suitable habitat: re-introductions should only take place where the habitat and landscape requirements of the species are satisfied, and likely to be sustained for the forseeable future. The possibility of natural habitat change since extirpation must be considered. Likewise, a change in the legal/political or cultural environment since species extirpation needs to be ascertained and evaluated as a possible constraint. The area should have sufficient carrying capacity to sustain growth of the re-introduced population and support a viable (self-sustaining) population in the long run.
- Identification and elimination, or reduction to a sufficient level, of previous causes of decline: could include disease; over-hunting; over-collection; pollution; poisoning; competition with or predation by introduced species; habitat loss; adverse effects of earlier research or management program; competition with domestic livestock, which may be seasonal. Where the release site has undergone substantial degradation caused by human activity, a habitat restoration program should be initiated before the re-introduction is carried out.

[End: World Conservation Union text]

(4) 2. Candidate Sites

Three new populations of A. menziesii have been initiated and are tentatively expected to persist. As evidenced by the successful propagation by DLNR in the Interim Management Program (Strategy (1), plants grown from cuttings and seed from the in situ Kapolei population thrive and produce fruit and viable seed under nursery conditions. The results of the Koko Crater Botanical Garden (Outplant Site #1), Kaena Point State Park (Outplant Site #2 / Wild Site #1), and Honouliuli National Wildlife Refuge (Outplant Site #3 / Wild Site #2) will provide critical information for the selection of additional candidate Wild Sites. It is anticipated a total of three viable wild sites will be determined from planting at several (e.g. more than three) outplant sites to achieve the long-term goal of this HCP, therefore, additional appropriate locations will be pursued and planted while monitoring the sites already planted.

DLNR DOFAW, ESRC, and DOT have had considerable discussion from 1997 to the present (2004) in evaluating potential outplant (or release) sites. Trials at several sites for wild site viability have been initiated and have resulted in outplanting at two sites, Kaena Point State Park and the Honouliuli Unit of the Pearl Harbor National Wildlife Refuge.

These and each future outplanting site will require active management over a 5-year period to before a determination is made on success or failure. Additional sites will continue to be pursued while existing sites are monitored, to yield three successful wild sites.

The discussions for the selection of additional wild sites include the following priority candidate site and other possible sites:

1. Priority Candidate Site

 Diamond Head State Monument (Approved for outplanting by DLNR Division of State Parks)

2. Other Possible Sites

- Honouliuli Kaluaa (The Nature Conservancy)
- Kalaeloa (former Barber's Point Naval Air Station) Northern Trap and Skeet Range
- Kalua Kauila (near Makua Valley)
- Kealia Trail area (State DLNR) (Test planted by DOFAW)
- Lualualei Naval Reserve
- Makapuu Head
- Yokohama Beach (mauka area)

The candidate site locations are shown in Figure 10 and Table 11 summarizes the sites which have been considered as candidate outplanting sites. The physical site characteristics, threats such as invasive weeds and the potential for fire, and land ownership and availability have been assessed to determine the suitability of each site as a permanent habitat for A. menziesii.




Table 11. Candidate sites for outplanting of Abutilon menziesii

Site Name/ Location	Ownership	Size (Outplant Site)	Site Characteristics	Threats / Other Relevant Comments
Diamond Head State Monument	State DLNR Division of State Parks	1 to 2 acres	-Within DLNR control -Convenient site accessibility -Controlled access	-Fire -Weeds
Waikiki, East Honolulu			-State parks master plan designates areas which are not within heavy "public transit areas" -Dry environment -Fairly deep soils -Waterline available in near proximity	-Presently requires MOU between National Guard and DLNR; -National Guard to relocate from Diamond Head @ 2007-2010 -High Priority candidate site to be planted in 2004
Honouliuli Kaluaa Kunia, Waianae	Campbell Estate (The Nature Conservancy	@ 0.5 acre	-Site accessible by rough dirt road and on foot -Not a public access area; however, site is used by hunters,	-Fire -Weeds
Mountains	of Hawaii, Le.)		hikers -Dry mesic forest -No waterline available	-Low Priority site due to uncertainty of lease renewa
Kalaeloa Northern Trap and Skeet Range Former Barbers Point Naval Air Station, Ewa	Federal Navy		-Convenient site accessibility -Controlled access (to some degree) -Site is scheduled for remediation to remove hazardous materials	-Fire -Weeds, Buffel grass
James, Dwa	:		-Dry coastal lowlands -Presence of Ewa Plains 'Akoko (Chamaesyce skottsbergii, an endangered species -Shallow soil layer on karst substrate (to be exacerbated by remediation) -Unknown Waterline availability	-Site is planned to be remediated to remove lead shots; top layer of soil to b removedLow Priority site
Kalua Kauila Near Makua	Federal Department of the Army	****	-Site access by foot -Controlled site access	-Fire -Weeds
Valley	:	11		- Army is unwilling to allow more T&E species of its lands at this time
Kealia Trail	State DLNR	0.5 to 1.0 acre	-Convenient site accessibility -Public hunting and recreational	-Fire -Invasive weeds
Mokuleia (near Dillingham Nursery)			-Dry, forested environment -Moderate depth soils	-DOFAW's initial test planting at this site is not

: "	# ## 1 Le 11	. : " :	-No Waterline available	too positive; -2 nd site will be test planted in 2004
Lualualei Naval Reserve	Federal Navy	warenen er	-Convenient site accessibility -Controlled access	-Fire -Weeds, Guinea grass
Waianae			-Dry environment -Presence of A. menziesii (2 known) -Deep soils -Waterline available in proximity	-Navy is currently unwilling to collaborate and allow additional T&E species on its lands
Makapuu Head	State DLNR Division of State Parks		-Relative convenient site accessibility -Public recreational area -Dry coastal environment - Pockets of deep soils -Scattered pockets of native plant communities, including T&E species -Unknown Waterline availability	-Fire threat -Weeds, koa haole scrub, Guinea grass -Limited salt spray -Uncontrolled access (public recreational area)
Yokohama Beach (Within Kaena Point State Park) Leeward Coast (North of Makaha)	State DLNR Division of State Parks	0.5 to 1.0 acres	-Convenient site accessibility -Public recreational area -Dry coastal environment - Deep soils -Unknown Waterline availability	-High fire threat -Weeds, Guinea grass -Uncontrolled access (public recreational area) -Salt spray during kona weather

The priority candidate site, Diamond Head State Monument, is located at Waikiki in East Honolulu and is under DLNR Division of State Parks jurisdiction. The Diamond Head State Monument Master Plan includes areas which will remain as wildlands which may be appropriate for A. menziesii introduction. This site has been approved by the Division of State Parks.

From a biological perspective, the Lualualei Naval Reservation environment is a preferred site with appropriate microclimate, soil conditions, and protected status. Moreover, the site is believed to be an historic range of *Abutilon menziesii* with two individuals present at the NCTAMS PAC Radio Transmission Facility and is monitored by Navy staff (R. Miyashiro, personal communication). However, the current position of the Navy precludes the introduction of additional protected species at this location. Follow-up communication with the Navy will resume after the approval of the HCP.

Important considerations in the selection of each site include the following:

Generally all sites may be exposed to fire threats, therefore, a site specific fire protection plan
will be developed for each site – as part of the site assessment /selection process. The fire

plan will include identification of the following: 1) fire fighting resources, 2) response responsibilities, 3) location of water resources, and 4) plans for fire breaks and fuel control.

All sites that persist are to be considered permanent sites, therefore, future urbanization
within and adjacent to the sites must be analyzed and landowner commitments for
preservation must be secured.

Strategy (5) Long term protection and maintenance of permanent Abutilon menziesii populations

As described in this HCP and in the MOA between DOT and DLNR (Appendix H), the funds for a 20-year period for active management, protection, and maintenance of three permanent outplant sites have been partially delegated by DOT and will be supplemented after the approval of the HCP. Additionally, DOT agrees with the need for management of three wild sites beyond 20 years. Therefore, as described in Strategy (2), the funds for such management will be derived from two sources: 1) Interest earned (estimated at \$440,000) on the delegated amounts of \$950,000, and 2) Contingency Fund revenues (a portion of \$200,000).

A further responsibility for long-term protection and maintenance of the permanent outplant sites after the HCP active management period of 20 years requires the commitment of Landowners of three outplant sites. The property owners will be required to commit to maintaining land uses that are compatible with the protection and management of the *Abutilon menziesii* populations on their property. Thus, the site selection process has analyzed criteria such as landownership status and potential future urbanization pressures on the candidate sites.

The MOA further states, "DLNR has the knowledge, expertise, and permanent presence needed to implement the mitigation of threatened and endangered species and agrees to implement the mitigation of threatened and endangered species and agrees to implement the management of the A. menziesii mitigation populations as outlined in the HCP".

<u>Protection and Management of Wild Sites.</u> The protection and management of the of the wild sites 3 will be funded through the monies contributed by DOT and earmarked for *A. menziesii* and as described in the MOA "Exhibit A - Scope of Services" includes the following:

- A. Maintain three wild populations. The purpose of the populations is to allow for natural seedling recruitment and establish long-term viability of all three populations.
- B. Propagation of a total representation of plants through cuttings from the Kapolei *Abutilon menziesii* population. These plants will be used to maintain genetic representation of stock and provide stock for outplanting purposes.
- C. Administration: 1) Prepare biannual reports of progress and findings, and 2) Maintain adaptive management strategy as needed to improve plant recovery and success.
- D. At the end of the contract period, DLNR shall prepare a summary final report, providing recommendations for future actions and possible alternatives, if any, based upon documented findings and results.

Strategy (6) Appropriate research

The research component would augment the research and testing measures described in Task 4 of Strategy (1): Interim Management Program which includes biological research, testing, and identification of testing parameters.

Research would focus on the "cultivation methods" of A. menziesii to attain the goal of establishing three viable new outplant sites. A review of past research studies would be made and appropriately applied to this project as situations arise at the various outplant site. The need would be determined by DLNR.

Research may include studies of various aspects of life history, habitat, pollinators, reproductive biology, optimum requirements for growth, requirements for population viability, and control of threats to better understand the requirements necessary for perpetuation of these plants. Such additional knowledge would allow more appropriate management and assessment techniques to be developed.

- 6.1 Collect diagnostic data on crucial associated ecosystem components.
 - 1) Composition of flora and invertebrate, bird, and other fauna populations within the existing clusters to gain an understanding of any relationships between these organisms and A. menziesii.
 - 2) Comparison of such information collected over time correlated with data from monitored populations of A. menziesii in known locations to provide insight into the required and/or preferred habitat for the species.
- 6.2 Study various aspects of growth of A, menziesii.
 - 1) Growth and mortality of seedlings, cuttings, transplanted parent plants;
 - 2) Growth of mature plants, including seasonal changes, optimum conditions and limiting factors;
 - 3) Seasonal differences in temperature and light needs;
 - 4) Water sources and requirements; and
 - 5) Soil and nutrient requirements.
- 6.3 Study reproductive viability.
 - 1) Breeding systems including self-compatibility;
 - 2) Pollination vectors; and
 - Preferred conditions for flowering and seed set.
- 6.4 Determine the degree of threats posed by the nature of interactions with selected diseases/introduced species.

Determine mechanisms of impact of diseases or pests. If diseases or introduced pests with negative impacts on A. menziesii are discovered, effects and mechanisms of each would be

determined. Research into mechanisms of impact of alien species, and any others that may be threats, would be performed as deemed necessary.

- 6.5 <u>Determine effective control methods to combat insect pests that may adversely affect the species.</u>
- 6.5.1 Determine effective control methods for pests. If the pests are determined to pose a threat to A. menziesii, research into effective control methods for these pests would be undertaken, ensuring that the control measures do not adversely affect this species.
- 6.5.2 Determine effective control methods for hibiscus scale on Abutilon menziesii. If the hibiscus scale is determined to pose a threat to A. menziesii, research into effective control methods for the hibiscus scale on the appropriate A. menziesii species would be undertaken, ensuring that the control measures do not adversely affect this species.
- 6.6 Other appropriate research to be considered.

Potential research would also be considered for the following:

- 1) Testing adult plants, seedlings, and seeds for salt tolerance via soil and salt spray; and
- 2) Conducting studies (or researching) off-island populations of A. menziesii to gain an understanding of how these populations function.

The decision to implement any additional research would be subject to other funding availability and a determination that these studies would directly significantly benefit the Kapolei population and the outplant sites as described in this HCP.

6.7 <u>Currently ongoing research</u>.

A research project by the University of Hawaii (Cliff Morden, principal investigator) is currently ongoing as a research component of the HCP. The research is to assess the extent of the genetic variation within the Ewa population of Abutilon menziesii. A genetic analysis of all plants from the Ewa population (at the Kapolei site or at outplanting/nursery sites) will be carried out. A limited number of individuals from other populations of A. menziesii (Lualualei, Oahu; Lanai, and Hawaii) will be sampled to determine affinities of the Ewa population. This research will address the extent of the genetic variation of the Ewa population, if this population is genetically distinct from other existing populations, and if genetic distance among plants correlate with the physical distance between plants. Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers will be used to assess the genetic diversity among individual plants. All population genetic distances will be statistically evaluated and will be compared to results from similar studies. Assessment of the genetic variation of the Kapolei population of A. menziesii will provide information allowing managers to make more informed management decisions concerning selection of source material for outplanting, collection, and storage of propagules to ensure representation of existing genetic material. The final report will include the following items:

- 1) Collection information for individuals
- 2) Description of methods
- 3) Results and discussion of genetic analyses

4) Statement regarding intra-population variation of Abutilon menziesii and its genetic relation to other populations

omakan di waa jiri aa aa muu sa

Strategy (7) Kapolei Population strategies

An objective of the HCP over its 20-year program would allow the removal of the Kapolei population and relocating the full genetic resource to three offsite protected locations on Oahu. To assure that the short-term success criteria are met before the full population is removed (as described in Section 7 Table 13) an 18-acre area within the Kapolei population is established as a temporary contingency reserve. This area is shown in Figure 11, as well as on Figure 5.

An additional "Special Condition" to the State Incidental Take License to be issued to accompany the "Habitat Conservation Plan for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei" would state, "No take or development can occur within the 18-acre area that surrounds the core Abutilon menziesii concentration within the area identified as Cluster C3 in the "Habitat Conservation Plan for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei" until such time that one outplanting site has met short-term success criteria described in the HCP."

Management of the 18-acre reserve may include measures such as temporary fencing and firebreaks. If an outplanting site does not meet the short-term success criteria, this could be considered as a wild site.

Incidental Take

DLNR, as the property owner, will be responsible for the Kapolei population until the Kapolei projects are fully entitled and prior to the transfer of land to the Kapolei project developers. A stipulation of the transfer of the property would be the issuance of an Incidental Take Permit by DLNR to enable the removal of the Kapolei population plants to the outplant sites. The cost to remove and upkeep these "original plants" will be from the funds provided by DOT or from contributions to the Endangered Species Trust Fund. The costs associated with the transplanting of original plants would be from the allocations to each of the three wild sites.


The City and County of Honolulu will issue a right-of-entry permit to DLNR DOFAW to collect propagules and conduct appropriate monitoring of the A. menziesti individuals (as described in this HCP) on the City property. However, the City will have overall responsibility for the plants on its property.

Prior to the construction of the North-South Road and removal of A. menziesii, DOT personnel will be consulting with DLNR regarding the location and treatment of the endangered plants along the project corridor.


Mitigation of the Seed Bank

To mitigate the seed bank at Kapolei, DOFAW has collected seeds and cuttings from approximately 75±% of all baseline plants. The uncollected 25±% is the due to plants lost through attrition, etc..

Mitigation of the seed bank will focus on seed storage at Lyon Arboretum, vegetative propagation at the new Dillingham Nursery, and the living genetic bank at Koko Crater Botanical Garden. The documentation of the Lyon Arboretum collection is accessed at the following website: http://www.hawaii.edu/scb/docs/science/seed/seedtabl.html




LEGEND



Bource: FOM Towid Corporation

FIGURE 11 18-Acre Contingency Reserve Area Habitat Conservation Plan for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei



The requirements of soil collection and storage may not be practical or feasible and collection is unproven as a means to mitigate the seed bank when compared to the successful collection of seeds and vegetative cuttings from the Kapolei population. Therefore, soil collection and banking is left to be exercised at the discretion of DLNR.

SECTION 4

Identify those measures or actions to be undertaken to protect, maintain, restore, or enhance the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types within the plan area; a schedule for implementation of the actions or measures, including monitoring, that are to be undertaken in accordance with the schedule.

(4a) Measures or actions to be undertaken to protect, maintain, restore, or enhance the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types

Short-term and long-term measures and actions which would affect the existing *Abutilon menziesii* population have been described in detail in Section 3. The strategies outline the measures and actions of the HCP for the existing habitat, the interim management period, and the long-term period at the offsite outplant mitigation populations.

(4b) A schedule for implementation of the proposed measures and actions.

The schedule for implementation of the proposed measures and actions is described in detail in Section 3, Strategy (3).

All of the populations of A. menziesii have been and will be periodically monitored throughout each of the phases of the HCP Implementation Schedule. Monitoring of the in situ and reintroduction populations will be conducted to determine progress toward attaining taxon stability. Monitoring will also be conducted to assess the status of the management unit relative to control of alien taxa and to habitat restoration. In addition, monitoring is an essential and integral part of adaptive management, which will be undertaken and is more thoroughly described in Section 8.

SECTION 5

Be consistent with the goals and objectives of any approved recovery plan for any endangered species or threatened species known or reasonably expected to occur in the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types in the plan area.

The preparation of the subject HCP involved the review of the Lanai Plant Cluster Recovery Plan (USFWS 1994) which includes Abutilon menziesti as one of nine endangered taxa. Appropriate guidelines are incorporated into the strategies contained herein.

Abutilon menziesii is considered to be a "long-lived" perennial and known or believed to have a life-span greater than 10 years (USFWS 1994, page 69). Conversely, "short-lived" perennials are those known or believed to have life spans greater than 1 year but less than 10 years. There are fewer than 700 A. menziesii individuals on Lanai, Maui and Hawaii; Oahu is listed as a possible location (USFWS 1994).

The Lanai Recovery Plan states the most serious threats as browsing and trampling by introduced ungulates, and competition from alien plants. Other threats include fire, seed predation, loss of pollinators and disease. Additional threats noted on Maui and Hawaii include agricultural and urban development.

The presence of the Kapolei population validates that the Leeward Oahu (and possibly Lualualei) and Ewa areas as historic ranges for the species. Threats to the subject population at Kapolei included agricultural cultivation until 1994 and impending urbanization as described herein.

The Lanai Recovery lists five necessary actions: 1) Protect habitat of current populations and manage threats, 2) Conduct research essential to conservation of the species, 3) Expand current populations, 4) Establish new populations as needed to reach recovery objectives, and 5) Validate and revise recovery objectives.

The total estimated cost of recovery for one (of nine) species in the Lanai Recovery Plan is estimated at \$3,000,000 over a period of 20 years. The date of recovery (for all nine species) for downlisting to Threatened would be in 2015, if recovery criteria are met (USFWS 1994, page v.).

The Kapolei population is expected to be taken and relocated to the three new outplant sites where threats will be actively managed, research conducted, and populations expanded over the 20 year period. To implement the HCP measures, a funding commitment has been made, as described in the Memorandum of Agreement (Exhibit G) between DOT and DLNR for approximately \$50,000 per year for a period of 20 years. Moreover, a commitment to implement the strategies of the HCP has been made by DLNR.

As indicated, there are no other endangered or threatened species in the East Kapolei area. However, remaining, in situ Abutilon menziesii will be maintained and protected onsite by DLNR until they are to be taken and relocated to the wild, outplanted sites, as described under Task 1 of Section 3, Strategy (1). In addition, an objective of this plan is the establishment of outplanting sites, which may become "plan areas" which may have other endangered species, and the coordination of these recovery efforts will also be performed by DLNR.

The mitigative measures of this HCP will contribute towards the USFWS Recovery Objective to delist this species. The criteria to meet the Recovery Objective of delisting are summarized below.

Table 12. Recovery Objectives of the Lanai Recovery Plan and HCP Objectives

Objectives	Lanai Recovery Plan	Associated HCP Actions	
Interim Objectives	Stabilizing the existing populations: To be considered stable, each taxon must be managed to control threats (e.g. fenced) and be represented in an ex situ collection. In addition, a minimum total of three populations should be documented on Lanai and, if possible, at least one other island where they now occur or occurred historically. Each of these populations must be naturally reproducing and increasing in number, with a minimum of 25 mature individuals per-population for long-lived perennials.	HCP goal is to establish 3 new ex situ wild populations on Oahu from the original degraded canefield population at Kapolei. At the new populations, threats from fire, vehicles, insect and weed pests, are to be controlled.	
Downlisting	Taxon may be downlisted when a total of five to seven populations are documented on Lanai and at least one other island where it now occurs or occurred historically. Each of these populations must be naturally reproducing, stable or increasing in number, and secure from threats, with minimum of 100 mature individuals per population for long-lived perennials. Each of these populations must persist at this level for at least 5 consecutive years before downlisting is considered.	Presently, 830 progeny from cuttings and seeds, representing the full range at Kapolei have been propagated and planted a two outplant sites (Kaena Poin and Honouliuli) and at Koke Crater Botanical Garden, which serves as a repository for the ful	
		genetic range of the Kapole population. The next outplant site is anticipated to be on State land a Diamond Head Monument Additional sites are being evaluated and will be selected for	
		outplanting after all clearances are received. The goal is to achieve at least three sites which meet the success criteria described herein.	
Delisting	Taxon may be delisted when a total of 8 to 10 populations are documented on Lanai and at least one other island where it now occurs or historically occurred. Each of these populations must be naturally reproducing, stable or increasing in number, and secure from threats, with a minimum of 100 mature individuals per population for long-lived perennials. Each population should persist at this level for at least five consecutive years before delisting is considered.	The funding for the HCP is provided by DOT and implementation of the HCP is by DLNR as described herein.	

SECTION 6

Provide reasonable certainty that the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types will be maintained in the plan area, throughout the life of the plan, in sufficient quality, distribution, and extent to support within the plan area those species typically associated with the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types, including any endangered, threatened, proposed, and candidate species known or reasonably expected to be present in the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types within the plan area.

The ecosystems, natural communities or habitat types of the Kapolei property are described in Section 1(b). Studies by Nagata (1996) and Char (1997, 1997, 2003, 2004) indicate that, for the most part, the landscape and ecological conditions are typical of fallowed sugarcane fields at other Oahu locations. It should also be noted that other listed, endangered species have not been discovered in this area, and the interdependency of any organism with A. menziesii has not been established at this point.

As described in Section 3, Strategies (1) and (4), the establishment of three new outplant wild populations is a major goal of this HCP, as such, there will ultimately be three "plan areas". Several more potential outplant sites are planned, in order to achieve a minimum of three successful sites.

A primary purpose of the Interim Management Program was to preserve the genetic resource at the Kapolei population and to test the viability of outplanting at appropriate offsite locations. This represents the initial task towards providing a reasonable certainty that the natural Kapolei community of A. menziesii will be maintained. The initial results of the Interim Management Program are a positive indication that new plants can successfully be grown from seeds and cuttings and potentially, new populations can be established at appropriate offsite locations to maintain a habitat for this species. At the Kaena Point outplant site, a coastal strand community including approximately 20 native species has been initiated and seedling recruitment has been reported.

The initial results indicate that with continued management there is reasonable certainty that A. menziesii from the original Kapolei population could be established as a natural community. However, a final assessment will require continued management over time.

SECTION 7

Contain objective, measurable goals, the a chievement of which will contribute significantly to the protection, maintenance, restoration, or enhancement of the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types; time frames within which the goals are to be achieved; and provisions for monitoring (such as field sampling techniques) and evaluating progress in achieving the goals quantitatively and qualitatively.

(7a) Objective, measurable goals, the achievement of which will contribute significantly to the protection, maintenance, restoration or enhancement of the ecosystems, natural communities, or habitat types

The primary objective of the HCP is the continued survival of the Kapolei genetic stock of *Abutilon menziesii* through the establishment of three offsite wild populations and one offsite repository site from the degraded canefield population at Kapolei. To achieve this goal, several sites will be outplanted, managed, and monitored for a period of five years before a determination is made whether a site has met the success criteria described herein. The short- and long-term goals are summarized in Table 13:

Table 13. HCP Short-term and Long-term Goals

	Goals and the Coals and the Co	
Short-Term Goals	1) Propagate the full complement of lineages of the in situ Kapolei population of Abutilon menziesii. 2) Establish a cultivated repository of the full complement of lineages of Kapolei A. menziesii at Koko Crate. Botanical Garden.	
	3) Establish two test outplantings of A. menziesii at appropriate sites.	
	4) Represent the full complement of lineages of the in situ Kapolei population at all sites.	
	5) Establish and maintain an 18-acre contingency reserve area within the Kapolei population until the short- term success criteria are met at one wild outplant site.	
Long-Term Goals	1) Maintain three new stable wild populations of A. menziesii by out-planting at several (more than three) appropriate sites.	
	2) For each wild population maintain an effective population of 120 flowering and seed producing plant (minimum of 100 mature individuals) over the term of the HCP. This number will assure an approximate 7 to 100 percent increase of the original population in each location and is five times that recommended by the Hawaii and Pacific Plants Recovery Coordinating Committee (as cited in USFWS 1998).	
	3) Monitoring of the outplanted populations will be conducted to determine progress toward attaining population stability.	
	4) The goal for seedling survival rate will be on average in the 10 - 25 percent range over a five year period taking into consideration the many variables related to achieving stability of reintroduced populations "Survival rate" is defined as survival of individual plants for a minimum of one year.	
	5) Natural recruitment shall occur in all wild populations not dependent upon artificial management such as irrigation.	

(7b) Time frame within which goals are to be achieved

At this time, the goals are anticipated to be achievable within the 20-year timeframe of the HCP. Following the 20-year period of active implementation and management, subsequent monitoring and any necessary management actions would be undertaken through a separate agreement with DLNR (or other qualified organization), for a period of time to be specified by DLNR and the Endangered Species Recovery Committee, until the final determination is made that the three outplant sites are firmly established.

(7c) Provisions for monitoring and evaluating progress in achieving the goals quantitatively and qualitatively

The criteria to measure success of the HCP actions include short-term, long-term, and overall criteria as described in Table 14.

Table 14. Measurable Criteria for Monitoring and Evaluating Progress of Goals

* *** ***	Success criteria
Measurable Short- Term success criteria	At least 2.5% of the full complement of lineages outplanted in a population must survive for 2 years after irrigation is ceased.
X	2) During the first 5 years after each wild population is established there must be (a) recruitment of seedlings that survive through the dry season, and (b) seed production by at least 25 % of the full complement of outplanted lineages after irrigation is ceased.
Measurable Long- Term Success Criteria	At least 80 reproducing adult plants will be present in each population, averaged over a five-year period after irrigation is ceased.
	2) The number of seedlings recruiting into the mature age class must be greater than the mortality rate of existing adult plants, averaged over a five-year period after irrigation is coased.
Overall Success Criteria	If both Long-Term Success Criteria are met and there are more than 120 reproducing adult plants present at the end of a 5-year period at a site (including at least 40 plants recruited from the seed bank on site) then no additional management action will be required for that site as part of the HCP and only monitoring need continue over the following 5-year period.

Strategies which have been completed or are in progress - including Strategies (1) and (4) - have demonstrated promising initial results of the HCP actions. Approximately 850 plants have been propagated by DLNR and outplanted to three sites. At this time the 1,000 percent increase in total number of Kapolei-derived plants over the original baseline of 93 plants is a positive preliminary indicator of establishing three ex situ wild populations.

An annual reporting process is required by Chapter 195D-21(f), HRS, which states, "Participants in habitat conservation plan shall submit an annual report to the department within 90 days of each fiscal year ending June 30, that includes a description of activities and accomplishments, analysis of the problems and issues encountered in meeting or failing to meet the objectives set forth in the HCP, areas needing technical advice, status of funding, and plans and management objectives for the next fiscal year, including any proposed modifications thereto." In addition, monitoring will occur

throughout the term of the HCP (as described in Section 8 below) and a report will be issued annually with a major assessment at each 5th year increment.

DOT intends to engage the services of DLNR to implement the strategies described in this HCP. DLNR, through the implementation of Strategy (1), has demonstrated the viability of propagating *Abutilon menziesii* and documented this in a progress report (Appendix F) and a final report (Appendix G).

SECTION 8

Provide for an adaptive management strategy that specifies the actions to be taken periodically if the plan is not achieving its goals.

Adaptive management is a strategy that allows for the change of management activities described in the HCP to ensure that the goals and accompanying success criteria of the HCP are achieved. Information from monitoring activities and other sources (e.g., research) will be used to evaluate whether the biological goals and success criteria of the HCP are being achieved and may be used to refine the design, scope, or implementation of the management actions described in the HCP.

Monitoring of the outplanting sites, which are intended to be self-sustaining, wild populations of Abutilon menziesii, as well as propagation and outplanting methods, and an examination of threats will be conducted to determine progress toward attaining the short-term and long-term goals and success criteria of the HCP. Monitoring will also be conducted of the Kapolei population of A. menziesii to track (e.g., survival, mortality, reproduction) live plants, including new recruits, remaining on site in order to maintain a complete representation of the genetic diversity of this population. Modifications to the management of the Kapolei population and outplanting sites will be made based on the results of the monitoring program and as research results in new information regarding A. menziesii (e.g., crucial ecosystem components, growth aspects, reproductive viability, outplanting methods, threat control methods, salt tolerance, genetics, seed bank dynamics).

The monitoring protocols are for various aspects of the HCP, as modified from the Makua Implementation Plan's Appendix E. Each monitoring protocol provides a description of the: 1) monitoring objectives; 2) monitoring methods and data analysis; 3) monitoring activity; and 4) potential management activities to be implemented in response to the information gathered.

Final decisions to change certain management actions will be approved by the DOT and DLNR DOFAW. DLNR DOFAW will determine which actions require additional recommendations by the ESRC.

There are five monitoring protocols which are described below:

- MONITORING PROTOCOL 1 Monitor Individuals of Abutilon menziesii in the Kapolei Population
- MONITORING PROTOCOL 2 Conduct Phytosanitation Monitoring in Greenhouse Facilities
- MONITORING PROTOCOL 3 Assess Status and Stability of Outplanted Populations
- MONITORING PROTOCOL 4 Conduct Phytosanitation Monitoring at Outplanted Populations
- MONITORING PROTOCOL 5 Monitor Success of Outplanted Individuals

The monitoring protocols are described in detail below.

MONITORING PROTOCOL 1 – Monitor Individuals of Abutilon menziesii in the Kapolei Population

Type of activity: Monitoring – As a goal of the Habitat Conservation Plan for Abutilon menziesii at Kapolei ("HCP") to provide a complete representation of the genetic diversity and survival of the Kapolei population of A. menziesii.

Description: Conduct monitoring of the survival of the Kapolei population; to determine phenology; and to collect propagales for storage, propagation, or experimentation.

Applicable for: Abutilon menziesii within the Kapolei population.

Management goals: D etermine a window when collection of propagules is highly probable. Successfully collect an adequate number of propagules to achieve the goals for the HCP (e.g., complete representation of the genetic diversity of the Kapolei population). Manage to maintain the existence of the Kapolei population (i.e., survival of existing individuals and additional recruits until take occurs).

Preliminary sampling objectives: Be sure that the window for collection will ensure successful seed collection if plants reproduce.

Management response: Adjust schedule according to phenology patterns of A. menziesii for seed collection.

Area to monitor: The Kapolei population of A. menziesii, with the purpose of locating mature individuals from which propagules will be collected.

Monitoring framework: Conduct a complete survey of all individuals in the Kapolei population to determine if or when they would be flowering or fruiting.

Data to collect: Data will be collected following the Hawaii Rare Plant Restoration Group ("HRPRG") rare plant monitoring format. Record location information (map and/or GPS coordinates) as needed for any new mature individuals of A. menziesii found in the Kapolei population.

- 1. Record reproductive status of all individuals record presence of fruit (mature or immature), and flower (buds or opened) and numbers of individuals with each.
- 2. <u>Collection i nformation</u> R ecord a ny c ollections m ade, a ssign n umbers to p lants s ampled, designate purpose for collection before collecting. This information should follow all propagules throughout its life.

Data analysis methods: No statistical analyses are needed for this protocol. All data resulting from the field surveys should be entered into a database and GIS.

Data collection interval: Visit the Kapolei population quarterly to determine phenology or visit at time of year when reproduction expected.

MONITORING PROTOCOL 2 - Conduct Phytosanitation Monitoring in Greenhouse Facilities

Type of activity: Monitoring - A component of the HCP to establish three wild, self-sustaining A. menziesii populations on Oahu.

Description: Maintain phytosanitation monitoring at the nursery designated for out-planting to ensure it is not contaminated with new pathogens or other pests of concern (Appendix 2.2 Phytosanitation Standards and Guidelines from the Makua Implementation Plan may be used as a reference).

Applicable for: Plants to be outplanted as part of establishing a wild, self-sustaining population of A. menziesii on Oahu.

Management goal: To prevent any introduction of pathogens or other pests of concern from the greenhouse (ex situ) environment into the out-planted site.

Preliminary sampling objectives: Be certain that pathogens or other pests of concern do not visibly contaminate the majority of the greenhouse plants proposed for outplanting.

Management response: If pathogens or pests are discovered within the lot of plants designated for outplanting, treat all of the plants with an appropriate pesticide and quarantine for longer period of time; reexamine the plants prior to any future outplanting.

Group to monitor: All of the individual plants proposed for outplanting.

Monitoring framework: Examine all individual plants proposed for outplanting.

Data to collect:

- 1. Presence or absence of pathogens or pests of concern Record outbreaks of pathogens or pests of concern.
- Identify type of pathogen present Identify type of pathogen present. If pathogen is not
 controlled, then make a further identification by identifying pathogenic symptoms, document via
 photo and collect sample for analysis.

Data analysis methods: No statistical analyses are needed for this protocol. However, it is essential that a proper random sample be taken of all of the plants that are in the proposed outplanting lot unless all plants are to be examined.

Data collection interval: Data must be collected during the growing period and prior to outplanting. Monitoring should be conducted during the life of the plant in the propagation growing facility at least bi-weekly. The sampling must be done just before planned outplanting date because any lag

between inspection and planting may allow for new pathogens to become established.

MONITORING PROTOCOL 3 - Assess Status and Stability of Outplanted Populations

Type of activity: Monitoring - A component of the HCP to establish three wild, self-sustaining A. menziesii populations on Oahu.

Description: Conduct initial baseline survey and continuing monitoring program for A. menziesii within each outplanted population to assess its status relative to the goals and success criteria identified in the HCP. Additionally, determine if the demographic structure of each outplanted population will be able to meet the overall goal and long-term success criteria of the HCP. Data will be collected on the distribution, abundance, status (vigor), population structure, and phenology of plants sampled, as well as evidence of damage by alien animal species (e.g., insects, rats, slugs) within an outplanted population.

Applicable for: Each outplanted population of A. menziesii on Oahu intended to be a wild, self-sustaining population.

Management goal: Manage each outplanted population to achieve the specified number of mature, reproducing individuals, and duration as specified in the HCP.

Preliminary sampling objectives:

- 1. Be certain that the number of mature plants capable of reproduction in each outplanted population is equal to or greater than the minimum number specified in the HCP to achieve the short-term success criteria.
- Determine if demographic structure of outplanted population appears to be adequate to sustain a
 viable population of A. menziesii over time based on comparison of number of individuals in lifestage classes with predicted model of a stable population for A. menziesii.

Management response: If population stability is not achieved, one or more of the following responses are appropriate: 1) continue with the same management program for a longer time, 2) intensify threat control, 3) implement species augmentation, or 4) select another location to establish a wild, self-sustaining population.

Area to monitor: Systematic survey of all of the individuals in each outplanting population. Individuals must be within 500 meters of another plant of the same taxon to be considered to be part of that population.

Pilot studies: It is important to emphasize that the suggestions that follow regarding monitoring framework, data to collect, and data analysis methods are preliminary suggestions that need to be developed following completion of pilot studies in the outplanting populations. Pilot studies will be used to collect data that will be used to refine the protocol relative to variables which will be sampled, plot size and shape, sampling framework, number of samples to be taken, monitoring interval, and data analysis methods to be used.

Sample unit: Outplant population.

Monitoring framework: Either of several survey strategies may be used initially to establish the monitoring framework for this protocol. Continue to use that strategy for subsequent monitoring of the population.

1. Census of plants in the outplanted population. All individuals within an outplanted population will be located and data collected as specified below.

Data to collect: The fields described below are included in the HRPRG's Rare Plant Field Data Form, which may be used for data collection in this monitoring protocol.

- Location of individuals this would be quadrat number if sampling conducted along contiguousplot belt transect, or GPS coordinates (UTM Zone 4, NAD 83 datum base) if using cluster
 sampling strategy. Some of the individuals in the population may be uniquely identified and
 tagged to help with collection of propagules or to allow for the collection of data on the progress
 of individuals through size or stage classes. Where GPS points cannot be used to locate
 individuals, the position of individuals will be hand-drawn in relationship to local landmarks and
 topography.
- 2. Number of individuals use the following life-stage classes as defined for A. menziesii: seedlings; immature individuals; and reproductively mature individuals. Each of these classes must be determined for A. menziesii. For most outplanted populations, all of the mature plants will be located and counted.
- 3. Vigor of all individuals in the following classes: healthy foliage appears green and vigorous, less than 10% dead leaves or defoliation; moderate some chlorosis or deformity (e.g., curled, extremely small, insect damage) may be seen in the leaves, 10-50% dead leaves or defoliation; poor most leaves may be dead or chlorotic or deformed, 50% dead leaves or defoliation; dead no live foliage or woody tissue.
- 4. Evidence of damage from alien animals: data will also be recorded on the presence or sign of damage on the sampled plants from alien animals, particularly invertebrates, rats, or slugs.
- 5. <u>Phenological stage</u>: record data on the presence of buds, flowers, immature fruits, mature fruits, or vegetative state for each plant, or if the plant is vegetative, or dormant. This information will be summarized for the population as a whole.

Data analysis methods:

1. In many cases all of the individuals within an outplanted population will be enumerated so direct comparisons of the resulting numbers will be made with the specified short-term and long-term goals and success criteria of the HCP.

Data collection interval: Data should be collected on the status of each outplanted population annually. It would be ideal if data collection could coincide with time of fruiting by the plants to

better evaluate seed set and to allow for collection of additional propagules, if needed, at the same time. In any case data should always be collected at the same month of the year for a specific outplanted population.

MONITORING PROTOCOL 4 - Conduct Phytosanitation Monitoring at Outplanted Populations

Type of activity: Monitoring - A component of the HCP to establish three wild, self-sustaining A. menziesii populations on Oahu.

Description: Maintain baseline inventory for pathogens at outplanted populations and phytosanitation monitoring on outplanted individuals to determine if they are contaminated by new pathogens or other pest species of concern (Appendix 2.2 Phytosanitation Standards and Guidelines from the Makua Implementation Plan may be used as a reference).

Applicable for: All outplanting sites on Oahu and outplanted individuals of A. menziesii.

Management goal: To detect and control any introduction of a pathogen from the greenhouse (ex situ) environment into the outplanted site.

Preliminary sampling objectives: Be certain that all outplanted individuals are not visibly contaminated by pathogens or other pest species of concern, and other individuals within the vicinity of the outplanting site are not contaminated above the baseline as a result of the outplanting. Pathogens or pest species of concern must be identified by an expert.

Management response: If pathogens or other pest species of concern are discovered on outplanted individuals, there are options: 1) eliminate the problem with an appropriate control technique and continue to monitor in the field, or 2) remove contaminated plants from reintroduction site and treat the contaminated planting sites with an appropriate pesticide to eliminate the problem in the field. Additionally, if contaminated plants are found in the field, it is necessary to reevaluate the greenhouse phytosanitation monitoring protocol to determine why it failed and to make modifications to that protocol if needed.

Group to monitor: All of the individual plants that were outplanted, as well as a sample of other plant taxa within the outplanting area.

Monitoring framework: 1) Examine all individual plants that were outplanted. 2) Conduct a pilot study to determine the extent and number of plants to be examined for pathogens or other pest species of concern within the study area.

Data to collect:

1. Presence or absence of pathogens or pests of concern – Record outbreaks of pathogens or pests of concern.

2. <u>Identify type of pathogen present</u> – Identify type of pathogen present. If pathogen is not controlled, then make a further identification by identifying pathogenic symptoms, document via photo and collect sample for analysis.

Data analysis methods: No statistical analyses are needed for this protocol.

Data collection interval: Data should be collected on the status of plants at each outplanting site monthly for the first three months and then every three months to complete first year.

MONITORING PROTOCOL 5 - Monitor Success of Outplanted Individuals

Type of activity: Baseline survey and monitoring – A component of the HCP to achieve the short-term and long-term goals and success to achieve three wild, self-sustaining populations A. menziesii populations on Oahu.

Description: Monitor germination and/or survival, growth, reproduction, and phenology of all individual plants that have been outplanted or introduced as seeds for A. menziesii in an area. The results of this short-term monitoring will be used to develop or refine techniques that maximize the survival of individual plants that are outplanted into the wild. Additionally the information will be the basis for determining how many individuals need to be planted if augmentation of the outplanted population is needed.

Applicable for: Plants or seeds outplanted to sites intended to meet the goal of being a wild and self-sustaining population. Monitoring may be focused on determining germination or survival and growth of all individuals outplanted.

Management goals: 1) Determine germination and/or survival of plants, and 2) document horticultural methods used for propagation and outplanting (i.e., cuttings, mound layering, seeding, size of pot, etc.).

Preliminary sampling objectives: 1) Track lineages of outplanted individuals to aid in determining if complete genetic diversity of the Kapolei population is represented in the outplanted populations.

2) Track survival rate of outplanted individuals.

Management response: 1) The results of monitoring plant growth relative to the different horticultural treatments will be used to help predict or refine the results of the plant survival analysis. The results of the analysis of survival will also be used to determine or refine the projected number of individuals to outplant for A. menziesii to achieve a specified number of plants that will become part of the reproductive pool.

Area to monitor: Complete outplanted population.

Monitoring framework: All outplanted individuals will be maintained.

Data to collect:

- Percent germination of planted seeds: When seeds are planted as a outplanting strategy, the
 number of individuals that germinate will be counted in a specific seed sowing block within the
 outplanted site. Percent germination will be calculated by dividing the number of germinants by
 the total number of seeds planted.
- 2. Vigor will be recorded for all sampled individuals in the following classes: healthy foliage appears green and vigorous, less than 10% dead leaves or defoliation; moderate some chlorosis or deformity (e.g., curled, extremely small, or insect damage) may be seen in the leaves, 10-50% dead leaves or defoliation; poor most leaves may be dead or chlorotic or deformed, 50% dead leaves or defoliation; dead no live foliage or woody tissue.
- 3. Phenological stage: Record data if the plant is vegetative, reproductive, or dead.
- 4. <u>Damage to Plants</u>: Any obvious damage to the plants from ungulates, rodents, or insects will be identified and recorded when each of the sampled plants is examined and measured. This information may be useful in helping to understand reduced vigor or death of some of the plants that have been outplanted.

Data analysis methods:

 Data collected in general will be used as a measure of the short- and long-term goals and the measurable short- and long-term success criteria, and vigor or survival of individual plants will be analyzed using a contingency table design.

Data collection interval: The first data collection time for this protocol will be just prior to moving plants out of the greenhouse and into the outplanting sites. During the first six months, data on germination (if seeds are used for outplanting) and/or survival of the plants will be assessed at least three times during this initial period. The next sampling time will be 12 months after seed sowing or planting, and thereafter the plants will be monitored annually.

Adaptive management options to consider include, but are not limited to:

- increasing or decreasing the number of plants outplanted into a site annually during the initial reintroduction phase
- (re)initiating reintroduction or augmentation efforts for a particular population unit;
- intensifying or changing post-planting care (e.g., watering)
- increasing or decreasing the control of specific threats as indicated by threat monitoring

Final decisions to change management actions must be approved by the DOT and DLNR DOFAW. In addition, detailed adaptive management strategies will continue to be prepared in consultation with DLNR and USFWS.

REFERENCES

Char, W.P. 1997. Botanical Resources Study, North South Road Corridor (H-1 Freeway to Kapolei Parkway), Ewa District, Island of O'ahu. Honolulu, HI.

Char, W.P. 1997. Summary of Findings: Ko'oloa'ula on East Kapolei Project Site, Ewa District, Island of O'ahu. Honolulu, HI.

Char, W.P. 2003. Botanical Survey, University of Hawaii West Oahu, East Kapolei, Ewa District, Oahu, Honolulu, HI.

Char, W.P. 2004. Botanical Resources Assessment Study, Kapolei Parkway Extension from North-South Road to OR&L Right-of-Way, Kapolei Oahu. Honolulu, HI.

Department of Land and Natural Resources, Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Natural Area Reserve System, *Interim Management Report for Abutilon menziesii*, April 24, 2001.

Department of L and and Natural Resources, Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Natural Area Reserve System, Final Interim Management Report for Abutilon menziesii, October 31, 2003.

Memorandum of Agreement Between the State of Hawaii Department of Transportation and Department of Land and Natural Resources.

Nagata, K. 1996. East Kapolei Master Plan Biological Survey. Honolulu, HI.

Nagata, K. 1997. Data Survey. Honolulu, HI.

Parsons Brinckerhoff. 1999. North-South Road Draft Environmental Assessment. Honolulu, HI.

PBR Hawaii. 1998. East Kapolei Master Plan Project: Final Environmental Impact Statement. Prepared for Housing and Community Development Corporation of Hawaii. Honolulu, HI.

- U.S. Department of the Army. ____. Final Implementation Plan for Makua Military Reservation, Island of Oahu. DACA83-96-D-0007/0055.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994. Lanai Plant Cluster Recovery Plan: Abutilon eremitopetalum, Abutilon menziesii, Cyanea macrostegia spp. Gibsonii, Cyrtandra munroi, Gahnia lanaiensis, Phyllostegia glabra var. Lanaiensis, Santalum freycinetianum var. Lanaiensis, Tetramolopium remyi, and Viola lanaiensis. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1998. Recovery Plan for Oahu Plants. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR. 207 pp., + appendices.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service and US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service. November 4, 1996. Habitat Conservation Planning and Incidental Take Permit Processing Handbook.

Wagner, W. L., D. R. Herbst, S. H. Sohmer. 1999. Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii. Bishop Museum, Honolulu, HI.

www.hawaii.edu/scb/docs/science/seed/seedtabl.html

www.iucnsscrsg.org

Personal Communications: Vickie Caraway, William Garnett, Randy Miyashiro, Joel Moribe, Nelli Sugii